National Anti-Slavery Standard.

VOL. XXII. NO. 35. NEW YORK, SATURDAY, JANUARY 11, 1862. WHOLE NO. 1,127.

PUBLISHED WEEKLY, ON SATURDAY,
AT TWO DOLLARS PER ANNUM,
BY THE
AMERICAN ANTI-SLAVERY SOCIETY,
At its Office, No. 5 Beekman Street, New York,
AND AT THE OFFICE OF THE
PENNSYLVANIA ANTI-SLAVERY SOCIETY,
106 North-Tenth Street, Philadelphia.

Letters for publication, or relating in any way to the editorial conduct of the paper, should be addressed, "EDITOR OF THE NATIONAL ANTI-SLAVERY STANDARD, NEW YORK."
Letters enclosing subscriptions, or relating in any way to the business affairs of the paper, should be addressed, "PUBLISHER OF THE NATIONAL ANTI-SLAVERY STANDARD, NEW YORK."

ADVERTISEMENTS, 10 cents per line each insertion.

Selections.

THE EMANCIPATED SOUTH CAROLINIANS.

Correspondence of The N. Y. Times.

HILTON HEAD, PORT ROYAL, S. C., Monday, Dec. 23, 1861.

THERE are now in Beaufort District, alone, I am informed by official personages, nearly 16,000 slaves whom masters have fled, and Beaufort District is but a small portion of the country at present in our hands. I have accompanied a number of the expeditions that have been made from this post, both by sea and land; have witnessed the exploration of the country from Tybee Island on the south, to North Edisto on the north, an extent of at least sixty miles, and have penetrated as far into the country as any of the scouting excursions, as any troops have yet gone. Everywhere I find the same state of things existing; everywhere the blacks hurry in droves to our lines; they crowd our gunboats around our ships; they swarm upon its small boats around our ships; they swarm upon our decks; they hurry on board in the small boats and ten-houses of their masters, in an hour or two after our guns are fired. I am writing now, not what I have heard, but what I have seen. I am not sending you opinions, or conclusions at which I have arrived, but facts that I have observed. I mean each statement I make to be taken literally; it is not garnished for rhetorical effect, but put into such a form as will most exactly convey to the mind of a reader the impression made on me. I have seen many times reported themselves as having escaped from their masters, who could not be heedless to our forces, and said they were fired on and came away in boats that they were ordered to take back for their masters to kill them; hard not go back, for their masters were at that moment armed and threatening to shoot them if they did not fly with them; who declared they had tricked their overseers, and came away in boats that they were ordered to take back to the whites. I have talked with drivers and field-hands, with house-maids and coachmen and body-servants, who have apparently as eager to escape as the rest. I have heard the blacks point out how their masters might be caught, where they were hidden, what were their forces. I have seen them used as guides and pilots. I have been asked by them where were the depots and stores of arms and ammunition were kept, and where bodies of troops were stationed. In a few hours I have known this information verified. I have asked them about the sentiment of the slave population, and been invariably answered that every where it is the same.

I have several times been told by the negroes that they were not well fed. The first reason a black man, or woman, or child assigns for deserting his owner is the small quantity and poor quality of food given him; the next reason is the scantiness of their clothing; the next the complaint of hard usage, hard work, and occasionally of cruelty. The last is rare, in my experience. The most of them speak, it is true, of instances where negroes have been recently shot, but few allude to previous hardships or whose whipping. Of late, the accusations of masters shooting their slaves are more frequent, indicating (this is inference) that the desperation of the rebels increases. The slaves have, in various instances, assisted in the capture of their masters. They have, at all times of late, wished to be armed, which was not originally the case. Colonies of them have been established, not only at Hilton Head, but on Otter Island in St. Helens Sound, and at the mouth of Edisto Inlet. In all these places they are employed, either by gunboats or by the guns of the batteries put up by the rebels, but now occupied by Union forces.

The absurd attempts of Southern papers to pretend that the blacks are still loyal, can only excite in any one possessed of eyes and ears pity for wretches cling to this hope, the absence of which would present to them so appalling a future. The slaves not yet escaped, of course, pretend to be faithful, but some have told me how they said to their masters and mistresses, on the day of the fight, "de Yankees will be whipped, Massa and Missus," but all the while they prayed and believed otherwise. So, casual allusions are made in Charleston papers to the fidelity of their "servants," as if it were a matter of course, but there is no labored discussion of a subject too terrible for discussion. As for my own judgment—it may not be worth much—but I came hither prepared to find all the negroes attached to their masters, and I have gradually observed a feeling of bitterness displayed by the blacks; at first there was only elation at their own escape; of late this has been mingled with indignation at the insane attempt of the masters to fire on them; I have known of several instances where slaves asked for arms to fire on their own masters (this was the case with Col. Whitmarsh Seabrook's five stock near Edisto); I have known where slaves assisted in the capture of their masters; I have sometimes asked myself what the poor wretches can hope, when arming the blacks and regularly drilling them as soldiers under white officers, might not prove the only means of averting the odious horrors of a servile insurrection. That time appears to me not to have yet come even; but it may be coming on apace, some of us suppose. The blacks fall to plundering as soon as their masters flee, and go by our lines confidently with their arms or their boats laden with plunder; and it is hardly the business of Federal troops to look after them; but it may be, and is, that some within an hour after the master is gone, from firing on him as soon as he attempts to fly, from assisting in his capture, and informing us beforehand of his designs—the step to absolute insurrection is not a wide one. I have talked with all classes of negroes as to us from different parts of the country, and those who were Union people to the last chance, are said to be sullen. This is what makes the horrors of such a war so greatly to be dreaded. Are a thousand of loyal Southerners, only waiting for a chance to display their loyalty to any effect, will be involved in its fiercest calamities. It would be a pity to provoke these calamities at a moment when the pressure of other troubles, financial, military and political, seems almost to have a strangling grasp at the very throat of rebellion. If it be possible, let the cup of social agony pass away from those who have not deserved to drain its terrible bitterness.

Gen. Stevens is pursuing a very good plan with the negroes who come to him for protection. He makes them all work, which they do cheerfully and readily, upon the promise of receiving wages. Instead of allowing them soldiers' rations, as is done at Hilton Head, he gives them bacon and corn, just such fare as that to which they have been accustomed, although in larger quantities. They appear to be well satisfied with the arrangement, which has the advantage of being much more economical.

In a late visit I made to Dr. Elliott's plantation on Hilton Head, I found a group of six straight-haired, bright-looking mulatto children, seated around the doors-tep of one of the negro cabios, eating ravenously the boiled sweet potatoes which a decrepid old man was distributing among them with apparently great solicitude.

The little ones were neatly dressed, which is an exception to the rule, and they were otherwise more attractive than any I had yet seen. I inquired into their history, which in a few words affords an illustration of a slaveholder's morality, and his brother's hoosesty.

Oh, no sah! Dese are massa's children,' said the old man, in reply to my question as to whether he was their father. 'I dere mudder name Rose; he lib wid her as he wife, and when he die, some time ago, he make her and de children free.' Then he told me that Rose had gone over to Gen. Viele's camp to do washing for the officers; and that she had come with herself and family to Hilton Yankees to escape being sold into slavery by her master's estate. I could not fully understand all that the old man said, but I heard enough to determine me to learn Rose's story from herself, if possible. Yesterday, therefore, I was at Gen. Viele's quarters, and made inquiries after Rose. She was not there, but I was introduced to a young man named Israel, who gave me an intelligible history of Rose and her children. He said that he and Rose belonged to the same master. His name was James Garrard, and his plantation was 4½ miles from Bluffton. It was well stocked with cattle, and some "fifty head of niggers." Mr. Garrard, about eleven years since, wanted to marry a fine lady, but she "kicked him" (Israel's word for rejected), and, in spite, he took Rose to live with him. He bought her in Savannah, expressly for the purpose. "I went with him her in de buggy," said Israel, "and 'fore he pay for she, he bring her home and put her cook and make pastry. He find she good, stirrin' woman, and he keep her." He added that Garrard had seven children by her—two boys and five girls—the eldest of which, a girl about ten years of age, was then living in Savannah. Over a year ago, Israel said, having a child which called for the sale of his entire real estate, slaves, cattle, and other property, excepting Rose and his own children, who were manumitted. Out of the proceeds of the sale of the property a home was to be purchased for Rose and the children, and the remainder of the funds was to be placed at interest, of which she was to receive one thousand dollars a year for the support of herself and children, and the remainder was bequeathed to his brother-in-law, Elliott Garrard, of Savannah.

This disposition of the property did not suit Elliott Garrard's views, and he has interposed obstacles to carrying out the provisions of the will. Rose, meantime, had come to Hilton Head, where she and her sisters have remained comparatively the same as when James Garrard died. After our forces had taken Hilton Head, and when the people of Savannah were in a "big scare," Elliott Garrard went to Rose and wanted her to retreat into the country, somewhere in Barnwell District, but she dreaded that he might sell her and refused to go. Next day she abandoned her home, took her family, and sought Yankee protection. Israel, and those who know Rose, that she is a fine looking, intelligent woman. She is very black, but her figure is perfect, and in her neat mourning dress, and bonnet, unless her face is seen, she might be mistaken for as haughty a dame as South Carolina can produce. Her chief attraction, however, is her conversation, which is marked by intelligence respecting the objects of the war, and many other subjects connected with it. She speaks understandingly of her own affairs, the injustice of the executors of the will, and her intention of going North, where her children may have a chance in life, as soon as she can get her other child from Savannah.

In speaking to Israel yesterday, I am afraid I made him uncomfortable for the rest of the day. Said I, "Do you like stopping here better than on the plantation?"

"Oh! yes, sir," he said promptly.

"What will you do when the soldiers leave here?"

At this question, the look of surprise which passed over Israel's face was irresistibly droll. He finally replied.

"I'd go wi' 'em!"

"But suppose they won't let you?" I said.

"Den I jump into de boat!"

"Ah!" I observed, "but you might put you out again!"

It was evident that no such contingency had presented itself to his mind before. He simply ejaculated, with great emphasis, as if overwhelmed with astonishment and fear at the bare idea,

"Christ Almighty!"

I asked him what he was afraid of, and he replied if "Massa Elliott Garrard catch me, might as well be dead—he kill me, certain." I reassured him of his safety before we parted.

GEORGE THOMPSON ON THE AMERICAN QUESTION.

SECOND LECTURE AT LEIGH, LANCASHIRE.

MR. HALLIWELL occupied the chair. The audience was large and deeply interested in the subject, manifesting great attention and frequently testifying their concurrence with the sentiments of the lecturer's views by hearty applause. The Chairman said he felt great pleasure in seeing their esteemed friend, Mr. Thompson, once more in Leigh. He had, during the last few months, often wondered what his gentleman's view were on the great struggle now going on on the other side of the Atlantic—a struggle the most important that had taken place in their day. Correct information about America was one of the most important of their present wants. Very few persons in England understood the present position of affairs in that country, and some of our statesmen, legislators, and public writers had made the most egregious blunders when speaking and writing on American topics. He thought they would agree with him that Mr. Thompson was well able to give them the information they needed on the subject. He had great pleasure in introducing him to the meeting, and had no doubt they would listen attentively to what they would profit by the instruction which he would impart.

MR. THOMPSON rose amid much cheering and commenced his lecture as follows: It is not the language of exaggeration to say that the present is the most momentous crisis in the world's history. Nothing can be more unjust than the one before us; neither is it the language of affectation to confess that I am embarrassed by its magnitude and solemnity. Some may be disposed to ask, what have we to do with the present crisis in America? I might say, what have we to do with the struggle of Italy, or Hungary, or Poland? Englishmen are wont to take an interest in all movements connected with the liberty and progress of the human race, and therefore I am. America is intimately connected with the cause of civilization, of freedom, of Christianity, and the advancement in happiness and self-government of the human race. The inhabitants of America can scarcely be regarded in the aspect of foreigners. There are at this moment in the free states four million of persons in that country who were once the subjects, either of the Sovereign who so worthily fills the throne of these realms, or of her immediate predecessors. It would be difficult to find a family in this country that would be difficult to find a family in this country that had not friends, or relations, or connections in the land in which the war we are contemplatig is now ragging. The great majority of the people of America have sprung from the same stock with ourselves. Our language is theirs; but it is not only spoken by them. Its laws and institutions in their fundamental principles are theirs also. Do we not boast of our protestant Christianity? So do they. Do we rejoice in the freedom of our press, the writ of habeas corpus, and the trial by jury? So do they. Have we Bible and tract and missionary organizations? So have they. In addition to the ties of race, language, literature, laws, religion, and institutions, we are united to America by the closest commercial ties of the most powerful character. What has made Liverpool the greatest maritime city in the world? What has made Lancashire the greatest manufacturing district in England? Our trade and commerce with America. Why are we this moment threatened with the most appalling calamities that can spring from want of employment for the population, a disaster that would throw the entire country into confusion and distress? Because we are in danger of losing that supply of the raw material of our industry which America has been accustomed to yield us. How intimate, then, are our relations with America, and how deep is the interest we must take in whatever affects the condition and fortunes of that great country. Remembering these things, let us survey the theatre of that civil war which is now raging so fiercely on the other side of the Atlantic. It is a war between the States which maintain slavery and the States where slavery has no existence. It is a war between the North and the South. It is a war between nine million on the South side, and eighteen million on the other. It is a war, on the one side for national existence for the maintenance of government—for preservation of the Constitution devised and founded by the fathers of the Republic—for the supremacy of law—the punishment of treason, and reintegration of the States; and on the other, for the establishment of an empire based upon the absolute and perpetual degradation of one race for the benefit and exaltation of another race. The South is fighting for slavery and nothing else. The North is fighting for the Union, the Constitution, the honor of the national flag, the limitation within certain bounds of the authority of the Federal government, and its own freedom from the dominion of the Slave Power which has hitherto ruled the entire country. The North is in the right, the South is in the wrong. In the cause of the South is united all the elements of cruelty, despotism and oppression, while in the cause of the North is bound up everything that is precious to man in connection with liberty, progress, and future well-being. Looking at the subject in a more humble and irrepressible looking upon the war as unavoidable and irrepressible looking to the combatants engaged in it, with reference to their antecedents, their character, and their objects; looking to the results which would follow from a victory by the South, and those which would crown the success of the North, I must say "God speed the North!" And this I must say without being the admirer, the friend, or the advocate of war. I hate war. I hold it to be unholy, and in the followers of Christ, unlawful. I know and deplore the passions, excesses, cruelties and crimes of war, but if war there must be, and if success on the one side must be followed by the establishment of the reign of slavery, and success on the other will be the defeat of a vile confederacy of despots, and the deliverance of a race from bondage, I cannot but desire that the final issue may be that which will promote justice, and ensure the freedom of the oppressed (cheers). There is one point to which I must very briefly allude, though I have not time to argue it. Some of the journalists of our country have attempted to defend the right of secession, by contending for the doctrine of State rights. They have argued for the inherent right of any State in the Union to retire, and thus to disintegrate the nation. This doctrine I do not admit. The right of a State to secede under the Constitution is, I consider, an absurdity. The right of revolution, or secession as a revolutionary right, is undeniable, but it is the people, the nation, that is to recognize that right, not the sworn servants of the Constitution, which knows nothing of secession. The oath taken by every servant of the federal government binds him to support the Constitution, and he would be unfaithful to that oath if he consented to, or connived at any attempt to break up the union of the States. The whole argument on State rights, and sovereignty, can be concisely stated. No government provides for its own dissolution; therefore, while there is always a revolutionary right to secede, there never can be a Constitutional right to do so. The American Declaration of Independence asserts that "governments are always an inherent, paramount, inalienable right to change their government whenever they are of opinion that the change will conduce to their happiness; but that change, to be constitutional, must be effected by the vote of the people. The Constitution prescribes the means for taking the sense of the people in reference to any organic change. Hence, at the suggestion of the State of Kentucky, an offer was made to the seceding States to call a national convention to consider the question, and it would have been competent to such a convention to have allowed South Carolina and her Confederate States to retire from the Union and establish a government for themselves. The offer was declined, and the only answer given was to the President but to uphold the Constitution and enforce the laws which the seceding States had violated. Remember always, that the citizens of the thirty-four States forming the Union were each and all parties to the Constitution, that the States were sovereign and independent within their own borders, and might have remained so. The compact into which they subsequently entered was a voluntary compact, but having entered into it they merged their sovereignties into a common nationality—gave up their individual independence, and became one people, as much as if they had never enjoyed distinct sovereignty. Every man thenceforward was a citizen of the United States, not of Massachusetts, Carolina or Massachusetts. Every man was thenceforward amenable to the Constitution, and to the laws of Congress made according to the Constitution, and neither individuals nor States could command an act inconsistent with the Constitution without being guilty of treason. Only a national convention representing the sovereignty of the whole people could change the Constitution which was at first the work of the people. If you refer to the preamble of the Constitution you will find that it reads—"We, the people, do ordain," etc., etc. The convention which sat in Philadelphia was a convention of delegates from the people. The Constitution proposed by that convention was submitted to the people, and after the convention had convened a second time for the completion of the Constitution, it was remitted to the people to be by them solemnly ratified. It was this Constitution that formed the Union—brought it into existence as a federal government—established the Congress that has ever since made the laws of the country, and gave birth to that nation which has been called the United States of America. Mr. Lincoln was elected under the Constitution, according to the forms prescribed by that Constitution—he was the legally appointed President of the United States—his oath of office bound him to uphold and defend the Constitution—he could not, therefore, without committing perjury and treason, become a party to an act of secession on the part of any one State forming an integral portion of the United States. In the present war the free States may be said to be unanimous and united as against the seceding States, and of their conduct in the war, in pursuit of their object in defence of the Union and the Constitution. They have empowered the President to call into the field 500,000 men, and to raise by taxation and loans the sum of 500 million of dollars to carry on the war. In the seceding States there are men who stand by the Union, but their voice and influence are suppressed by the secession party. Many of them have fled to the North, the rest are unable to express their sympathy, or if they do, they do it at the risk of their lives. Kentucky struggles in vain to maintain a neutrality between Unionists and Secessionists. The same may be said of Missouri. Eastern Virginia is wholly secessionist and belongs to the Confederacy, while the western part of the State has declared for the Union and the government, and has separated itself from the Eastern portion. The seceded States are Virginia, North and South Carolina, Georgia, Mississippi, Louisiana, Florida, Texas, Alabama, Arkansas, and Tennessee. The States of the Great Valley—Kentucky, Missouri, and the Ohio, with the States of New England and the States of New York and Pennsylvania, form the present United States, and are immediately subject to the government of Washington. Western Virginia also belongs to the Union, and the government, as

National Anti-Slavery Standard.

WITHOUT CONCEALMENT—WITHOUT COMPROMISE.

NEW YORK, SATURDAY, JANUARY 11, 1862.

CORRESPONDENTS will greatly oblige us by a careful observance of the following directions, viz.:

Letters enclosing matter for publication, or relating in any way to the editorial conduct of the paper, should be addressed, "EDITOR OF THE ANTI-SLAVERY STANDARD, No. 5 BEEKMAN STREET, NEW YORK."

Letters enclosing subscriptions, or relating in any way to the business of the office, should be addressed, "PUBLISHER OF THE ANTI-SLAVERY STANDARD, No. 5 BEEKMAN STREET, NEW YORK."

WILLIAM LLOYD GARRISON will deliver a Lecture on "THE ABOLITIONISTS, AND THEIR RELATIONS TO THE WAR," in the Cooper Institute, on TUESDAY EVENING, Jan. 14th (not the 7th, as announced last week), 1862. We are sure that all earnest friends of freedom, of whatever sect, party or class, will gladly embrace an opportunity to listen to the counsels of the man out of whose mind and heart the Anti-Slavery Movement of our time was born, and who for more than thirty years has been its foremost exponent and champion. Friends of the slave! let us fill the spacious hall and give him an enthusiastic welcome!

Tickets for sale at the Anti-Slavery Office.

THE GOLDEN MOMENT.

THE MASON-SLIDELL embarrassment being adjourned, at least, if not finally adjusted, the American people are now anxiously awaiting the next move of the government. It is something more than the usual curiosity to hear some new thing and to enjoy a fresh sensation that makes every eye look earnestly towards Washington to discern the latest signs of the times. The general feeling of the most intelligent classes is that the concession of that brace of rebels will be but a sop to the British lion to stay the tamed raged rage of his hunger a brief season, and by no means a satisfaction of it. They seriously doubt whether the shape which Secretary Seward gave to the surrender will be as satisfactory on the other side of the Atlantic as on this. Though his letter takes away the immediate occasion of hostilities by its substantial allowance of the demand of England, its terms are by no means such as to quiet that exacerbation of the public mind which is always the forerunner of warfare. The ground on which the surrender is put is the least flattering possible to the vanity of the English people or of the English statesmen—inasmuch as it leaves the former in the predicament of having won their case by taking a pettifogging advantage of a technical error, of which all the advantage was their own, and the latter in that of having virtually abandoned the traditional policy of the nation in the matter of neutrality, and yielded a substantial principle, where we have only surrendered a pair of paltry political tricksters of no weight or consequence whatever. As the matter stands, every intelligent Englishman must see that all the benefits of the operation accrue to us, and all that is discreditable and damaging (supposing their neutral theories beneficial) is left for their share.

Now, all this is not well pleasing to the carnal man, and we may be well assured that the party which has thought it worth its while to foment a secession public sentiment in England will not be slow to disguise the facts in such a false presentment as to deceive and exasperate the public mind. For that public mind must be just in the condition to be manipulated by such operators. We have never had much faith in the quality of the National Anti-Slavery feeling in England—though we know that that of our especial coadjutors and friends there is as good as if it had grown strong under the influences of our pro-slavery atmosphere. The tone of the press and of private society, as we know from the unanimous testimony of many travellers, shows that we were not mistaken in our opinion. The disturbance our war has made in the conditions of the material prosperity of England is too much for the sentimental Abolitionism which her inhabitants have inherited from the past generation. For it is not the Dollar only that is Almighty; the Sovereign is at least as omnipotent a deity. The advancement in protection of property is ever more the object of public policy and the end of public justice there than here. We see this not only in the statutes at large and in the annals of British wars, but in the daily records of the police-offices. Nothing is more marked, and, as it seems to us, more characteristic of the two nations, than the way in which offences, not of the very gravest type, are treated, there and here. A fine of from five shillings to five pounds satisfies justice for brutal assaults not amounting to mayhem or manslaughter, which would be visited by heavy personal punishment here, while trifling offences against property, which an American judge would dismiss with a small fine or perhaps an admonition only, are chastised with penalties of great severity. Whatever disturbs the course of business and the relations of property touches the most sensitive point of the English mind, and hence, we conceive, proceeds the bitterness in which that mind seems to be steeped towards us, and the honied sweetness which marks its effusion towards the rebels who move, live and breathe only in and for slavery. In the South is seen cotton and no custom-houses; at the North a frightful Ogre, named Morrill, drives the North a frightful Ogre, named Morrill, drives away the argosies of England with the mere wind of his brandished Tariff.

We will not enter into the question of the reasonableness of these opinions. We will not argue that the whole derangement of the cotton market has been caused, not by the North but by the South, in the hopes of an unlimited extension of slavery towards Cape Horn, and an exhaustless supply of slaves from the coast of Africa. We will not argue the question of the Morrill Tariff, and show how little the diminished trade with England has been owing to it; though we affirm the absolute right of the United States to arrange its revenue according to its own ideas of political economy, without regard to those prevailing in any other nation. We only mean to assert that there is a bitter feeling prevalent in England that the resistance of the United States to its dismemberment and the overthrow of its government, and its scheme of duties, have operated to the disadvantage of England's material prosperity, and the curtailment of present and prospective profits. And, further, that this conviction, taken, together with the inflated national self-conceit—so oddly exhibited in the last letter of our English Correspondent—may yet compel the government to a hostile interference in our domestic affairs. For it is not only parties, but nations, which are like snakes in the particular that the head is moved by the tail. And it is for a possibility of this kind that the government and people should be making their arrangements. The people, indeed may have to

already made theirs, in the unlimited supplies of men, ships and money they have put at the disposition of the President. What is now needed, to prevent any external interposition, is, Success in our operations against the rebellion. This will be effectual, and nothing but this can be. If the forces, by sea and land, which have been collected to crush the factious slaveholders, can be used successfully—and who can doubt it, if used in earnest?—there is no time to be lost: England has shown herself ready enough to make our Necessity her Opportunity, to leave any room for doubt that our Extremity would be her Occasion.

What is needed to preserve peace abroad and to restore it at home now, is Victory. The occupation of Charleston, Savannah and Mobile, the descent of the river by Gen. Halleck's expedition and the capture of Memphis and New Orleans, preceded or followed by the reduction of Richmond and Norfolk, would put an entirely new face upon our affairs in the eyes of the world. The country has been willing to wait, though impatiently, until the young General-in-Chief saw that he was ready for action. But patience is not a virtue that lasts forever, and there are symptoms in various quarters that it is beginning to give out. At any rate, inactivity for three months to come may be as mischievous as defeat in provoking intermeddling from the other side of the ocean. If the national arms be not greatly successful within three months from this time, we believe that the game will be up and that the Confederacy will be recognized and taken under the Protectorate of England. A war with England might ensue—probably would, for it is not to be denied that a very bitter *feeling* towards England is growing up, such as runs before a war—but it would be merely one of vengeance. The diversion of our forces to other fields, and our ships to other coasts, would leave our recognition of the independence of the rebel States a mere question of more or less time. To save the nation from such a humiliation, speedy action must be had—action unshackled as well as speedy. Generals must be made to feel that it is no part of their business to play their enemy's game by upholding the accursed system to maintain which was the spring of the rebellion. They must be instructed to use every means that their hands find to use for the weakening of their treacherous enemies. We do not mean that they should organize insurrections or even arm slaves, excepting in cases of exceptional extremity. But we do mean that the hoon of freedom should be assured by each General to all who will follow his army or pursue their tasks, for wages, under his direction. This course would hinder all danger of bloody insurrection, and would leave a land behind, not devastated by war so much as regenerated by free labor. It would take out the heart of slavery by putting hearts into slaves. The freemasonry which unites all the slaves by sacred ties would spread the news from plantation to plantation. Wherever the national armies moved they would reconstruct Southern society, and prove, as has been done at the Sea Islands, that wages are a more effectual motive power than the whip. At any rate, the war must be earnest to be successful, and to be earnest it cannot be primarily carried on in the interests of the slaveholders. If thus earnest, we believe it will be successful, and England will have more than she desires in hounding supplies of free cotton. If this earnestness be lacking, and the war be permitted to still dawdle along, as it has seemed to do, at least, the sooner the worst is known the better that we may accommodate ourselves to whatever doom of disgrace or misfortune the incompetency or treachery of our rulers may have entailed upon us.

FORM OF PETITION TO CONGRESS.

CIRCULAR.

THE undersigned having prepared with care and after mature deliberation, the accompanying petition on the subject of EMANCIPATION, recommend it to the public for general adoption and circulation. Copies may be obtained from either of the subscribers.

New York, December, 1862.

W. C. Bryant,	Andrew W. Morgan,
Wm. Curtis Noyes,	Andrew Bowdoin,
H. A. Hurlbut, M.D.,	James Hilton,
J. W. Edmonds,	Geo. B. Cheever, D.D.,
James McKaye,	John T. Wilson,
Oliver Johnson,	S. S. Jocelyn,
Wm. Goodell,	J. R. W. Sloane,
J. E. Ambrose,	Theodore Tilton,
Samuel R. Davis,	Dexter Fairbank,
Edward Gilbert,	Jas. Freeland,
Nathan Brown.	Samuel Wilde,
Mansfield French,	Charles Gould,
Edgar Ketchum,	Alexander Wilder,
	Wm. C. Russell.

TO THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES AND TO CONGRESS.

The People of the United States represent:

That they recognize as lying at the very foundation of our government, on which has been erected the fabric of our free institutions, the solemn and undying truth, that by nature all men are endowed with an inalienable right to freedom.

That, so far as this great truth has been in any respect departed from, by any of our people, or by any course of events, the toleration of such departure has been caused by an overshadowing attachment to the Union, and by conscientious fidelity to those with whom we had voluntarily united in forming a great example of free government.

That such departure—whether willing or unwilling, whether excusable or censurable—has nevertheless given birth to a mighty power in our midst—a power which has consigned 4,000,000 of our people to slavery and arrayed 6,000,000 in rebellion against the very existence of our government: which, tor three quarters of a century has disturbed the peace and harmony of the nation, and which has now armed nearly half a million of people against that Union which has been hitherto so dear to the lovers of Freedom throughout the world.

That by the very act of the Slave Power itself, we have, all of us, been released from every obligation to tolerate any longer its existence among us.

That we are admonished—and day by day, the conviction is gathering strength among us—that no harmony can be restored to the nation, no peace brought back to the people, no perpetuity secured to our Union, no permanency established for our government, no hope elicited for the continuance of our freedom, until slavery shall be wiped out of the land utterly and forever.

Therefore, we who now address you, as co-heirs with you in the inheritance of freedom, and as free men of America, most earnestly urge, upon the President, and upon Congress—

That, amid the varied events which are constantly occurring, and which will more and more occur, during the momentous struggle in which we are engaged, such measures may be adopted as will ensure emancipation to all the people throughout the whole land, and thus complete the work which the Revolution began.

THE SPEECH OF GEORGE THOMPSON, Esq. (a name dear to American Abolitionists), which occupies a large portion of the first page, entitles that eloquent champion of liberty to the gratitude of every one who has at heart the preservation of free government on this continent. If he were not the most generous of men—if the love of a great and noble cause did not lift him above all temptation to personal vindictiveness, surely we might expect now to hear *his* voice prominent in the roar of that tide of British disparagement of the North which comes swelling across the Atlantic. "No other Englishman was ever so maligned by the American press; no other could find in his personal experience such plausible excuses for taking sides against us in this crisis of our country's fate. But, forgetful of the insults heaped upon him by Americans in former years and by the press, the fierce howlings of the mobs which put his life in peril—he steps forth now to vindicate the American cause before the people of Great Britain. His thorough knowledge of all the peculiar features of the American government, his familiarity with all the causes of the Southern rebellion and with every step in the history of the Anti-Slavery Movement, and above all his thorough devotion to Republican institutions, qualify him in the preeminent degree to explain to his countrymen all the preeminent of the deadly contest between Slavery and Freedom in this country. Such speeches as that which we this week print cannot fail to exert a powerful influence in Great Britain, and it will be a shame if the American press is not prompt to recognize their value and to do justice to their eloquent author.

LETTER FROM PARKER PILLSBURY.

HAVERHILL, MASS., Dec. 30, 1861.

To the Editor of the National Anti-Slavery Standard.

I INTENDED to have told you before what successful meetings we are now holding here in Massachusetts, and mostly in the County of Essex. At Rockport, Essex, Manchester, Danvers and South Danvers, Stoneham, Haverhill and Groveland, I have held some of the largest and most interesting meetings ever held in those places. Mr. Redmond and myself held Sunday meetings at Essex and Stoneham, and the effect will not soon be effaced. We also held an Essex County meeting at Lynn, two weeks since, at which we had the presence and aid of Mr. Garrison; and the interest reminded us of the anti-slavery days of old.

Slavery and the War, as Cause and Effect, of course is the theme. The North and South together have long robbed and peeled the slave. Now the South proposes to rob and ravish alone. So they stole the pistols and powder, and other weapons and appliances, and started out on their own account. The North calls on the South to come back with the weapons, and they will plunder together as before. The South says nay; for you show signs of getting tired of the business, and of wishing to be out of it; but it is only by this highway robbery and piracy that we live. But the North says no, we are not tired of the business, but our leaders set us up in it, all together, almost a hundred years ago, and shall we not continue in it, for at least a thousand years to come? But the South won't believe its old accomplice any more, and so they are now at war with each other. Both parties seem to hate their former victims as badly as ever, and the North worse, for it will not even allow them to aid in subduing the South!

And Mr. Seward says, "the rights of the States, and the condition of every human being in them, will remain subject to exactly the same laws and forms of administration, whether the revolution shall succeed or whether it shall fail!"

Small encouragement, truly, does this afford to the colored people to assist in the bloody strife.

But what does Mr. Seward mean by these wild utterances? Here is one to Mr. Corwin, our Minister to Mexico, in a letter of instructions:

"The President does not expect that you will allude to the origin or causes of our domestic difficulties in your intercourse with the government of Mexico. . . On the contrary, he will not suffer the Representatives of the United States to engage in any discussion of the merits of those difficulties in the presence of foreign powers, much less to *invoke even their censure against the conduct of our* FELLOW-CITIZENS who have arrayed themselves against its authority."

Mr. Adams, our Ambassador to Great Britain, is thus instructed:

"You will not consent to draw into debate before the British government any opposing moral principles which may be supposed to lie at the foundation of the controversy between those [the seceded] States and the Federal Union. You will indulge in no expressions of harshness or disrespect, or even of *impatience in discovering* the seceded States, *their agents* or their people."

Their agents: Mason and Yancey, for example! Had Capt. Wilkes, of the San Jacinto, been thus instructed, what infinity of troubles might have been avoided!

But Mr. Seward also says there is no war between the North and South, and that there is no separate or seceded South. "There is, indeed," he adds, "an armed sedition seeking to overthrow the government; and the government is employing military and naval forces to suppress it. But these facts do not constitute a war, presenting two belligerent powers, and modifying the national character, rights and responsibilities, *or the character, rights and responsibilities* of foreign nations."

This occurs in a letter of instruction to our Minister in France.

These letters were all written several months since, but are just now getting published "*by authority.*" Truly, it must rejoice the hearts of the seceded slaveholders to be assured, on so high "authority," that slavery "will remain just the same, whether the revolution succeed or fail!" Hear that, ye six hundred thousand braves in arms; and ye rich men who supply so largely the means to carry on this sublime, but most atrocious slaveholders' rebellion!

Why are these letters launched upon the public at, this moment, most inauspicious to the South, if it be not to give her "aid and comfort"?—if it be not, indeed, to assure the rebels that no serious harm is intended them, and shall in no wise be done them! Why, then, should we complain of England, or any country, for smiling graciously upon them?

* * * * * * *

PARKER PILLSBURY.

PERSONAL.

THE venerable Josiah Quincy, of Boston, was recently injured by a fall in his own house. We regret to see it stated that the accident was more serious than was at first supposed. The hip-bone was fractured, which his physicians think will cost him a six months' confinement.

Charles F. Adams, Jr., who holds the post of First Lieutenant in a Massachusetts cavalry regiment, is the son of our present Minister to England, grandson of the sixth President, and great grandson of the second President of the United States.

At a lecture recently delivered at Newcastle-upon-Tyne, England, by Jessie Meriton White, she affirmed that Cavour pledged the island of Sardinia to France before he died, and that Louis Napoleon is only waiting the proper minute to seize his prey. This accords with the assertions lately made by Mr. Roebuck.

Dr. Harriot K. Hunt, of Boston, has just put in her tenth annual protest against taxing women without allowing them the privilege of representation. Miss Hunt owns valuable property in Boston, but she decidedly objects to the tax-law, which, she says, "compels her to bear her part in a civil war that she has had nothing to do in creating."

Samuel M. Janney, of Loudon Co., Va., a Quaker (Hicksite) preacher, and author of the Life of William Penn as well as of a History of the Society of Friends, was imprisoned some time ago at Richmond for his well-known anti-slavery principles. We see that he was lately released, but upon what conditions we are unable to state.

Secretary Chase has appointed Edward L. Pierce, Esq., of Boston, agent at Port Royal, to collect cotton, and oversee the contrabands. Mr. Pierce is the author of the excellent article in *The Atlantic* for November last, entitled, "The Contrabands at Fortress Monroe." He is a lawyer in Boston, and enlisted as a private in the three months' volunteers.

The subject of Horace Greeley's lecture in Washington was, "The Nation." He said the misfortune of our country had been its reluctance to meet its antagonist in the eye. Slavery is the aggressor, and has earned a rebel's doom. Save the Union, and let slavery take its chance. He was against compromise, because it implied concession to armed treason, and expressed his belief that the present contest would result in enduring benefits to the cause of human freedom.

The following paragraph from the Washington correspondence of the Springfield *Republican* will awaken a painful interest in the minds of not a few of our readers:

A young son of Mrs. Bailey, widow of the late Dr. Bailey of *The National Era*, was buried yesterday from C street, where the family has resided for many years. There is a deep feeling of sympathy for Mrs. Bailey, for the loss of her husband, and the bulk the cup of sorrow to its dregs. Dr. Bailey was the idol, as well as the disciple of his family, and his sudden death at sea was a crushing blow to their fondest hopes. Then came a terrible shock to that family. Three fine sons were left, but the youngest, about sixteen, has just been taken away, and the family is again plunged in mourning. The eldest occupies a confidential position under Mr. Chase, and is the main support of the family. The second son is at West Point. As the affairs of Dr. Bailey were not left in a prosperous condition, a heavy responsibility was left upon his widow, and she has borne it very bravely and nobly. This fresh trial is very hard for her to bear, but so many important duties remain to the living that she will bear up under them for the sake of the living. Secretary Chase is a very warm friend of the family and has been a counsellor and friend through all their troubles."

WILLIAM WELLS BROWN.

Our friends in Central and Western New York may be glad to learn that this gentleman, well known to them as an earnest champion of the anti-slavery cause, is about to visit those regions as a lyceum lecturer; and that they may have an inkling of the feast in store for them, we copy the following paragraph from the Paterson, N. J., *Guardian*:

LECTURE OF WILLIAM WELLS BROWN.—This gentleman gave his lecture on "Wit and Humor" at the Colored Presbyterian Church Lecture Room, last evening, to an appreciative audience. We do not hazard anything in saying that those who failed to attend lost one of the richest treats of the season. Never have we seen any number of people better amused or more thoroughly interested. Until the close of the lecture, those gathered were kept in a state of suspense as to what was next coming. The lecture was so full of hits and amusing reflections on affected and hypocritical foibles, that the crowd were kept in a grin from the opening to the close of the affair. After the lecture was over, a number of gentlemen interested themselves in an endeavor to secure the repetition of the lecture, or another from the same individual, and we learn that the talented gentleman will return on Christmas and lecture in Continental Hall. No doubt a large audience will greet him there, for he richly deserves a great success for his pleasing effort.

Our European Correspondence.

LONDON, December 17, 1861.

ALBERT, the Prince Consort, is dead; VICTORIA, our Sovereign, is a widow; nine princely children are orphans; and the nation, with one heart, mourns an event which fills with darkness and desolation the towers of Windsor Castle. No sorrow was ever more genuine or profound than that which fills the mind of the people of this country at the present moment. In fact of a Prince who had endeared himself to all capable of admiring high talents, or of appreciating personal and domestic virtues. He was second only to the weeping widow he has left behind, in the affections of the people. His name was a "household word," his face and form were amongst the most familiar objects of our daily contemplation, his exemplary deportment as a husband, a father, and as the man who occupied the highest place in our social system, made him a model worthy of universal imitation. Only yesterday in lusty life—in mid-career of usefulness and solid fame—to-day he lies a corpse, and A puffe coffin contains all that was mortal of Albert, the Consort of the Sovereign of Great Britain and Empress of India, Duke of Saxony, Prince of Saxe Cobourg and Gotha, Knight of almost every order of British and Foreign Chivalry, Field Marshal, Constable of Castles, Ranger of Forests, Chancellor of Universities, and President of Scientific and Artistic Associations.

The Prince was seized with illness twelve days ago, and exhibited symptoms of fever and general indisposition. For some days, however, his complaint was not considered to be serious, but in the early part of last week the physicians in attendance, and the persons immediately about his royal highness, became apprehensive respecting its result. Care was taken that no alarm should be made that might needlessly alarm the Queen or the public, and it was not until Wednesday the 11th inst. that a bulletin was issued, and even then it was said "the symptoms were not unfavorable." It appears, nevertheless, that on that day the fever made head, and that the patient was much weakened. Still the disorder was considered to be nothing worse than a severe case of gastric fever, from which a person of the age and strength of the Prince might, by the aid of the skill of the ablest physicians in the country, be expected soon to recover. We are now informed that the Prince himself had for some days a misgiving or conviction that his sickness would be "unto death," and to have associated his own case with that of his relative the young King of Portugal, so suddenly snatched away—expressing his belief that his own malady would prove fatal. On Thursday no perceptible alteration took place in his condition, and on Friday the Queen took her accustomed drive in the Royal Park without any suspicion of immediate danger. When, however, her Majesty returned to the Castle, she learnt to her grief that a sudden change had taken place, and that the extremities of the sufferer were already cold. The bulletin of Friday morning, announcing that the Prince had passed a restless night, and that "his symptoms were unfavorable," first excited the painful apprehensions of those beyond the precincts of the royal residence. On Friday evening it was thought probable that the Prince would not survive the night, and a telegram was sent to the Prince of Wales, at Cambridge, which brought him to the bedside of his father at three o'clock on Saturday morning. In the forenoon of Saturday a change, apparently for the better, took place; it proved, however, to be one of those brief manifestations of revival which are so frequently the precursors of dissolution. At four in the afternoon there was a relapse, the stimulants by which the Prince had been sustained were no longer effective, the patient was sinking rapidly, and all hope of his recovery was relinquished. There was a sudden failure of vital power; congestion of the lungs succeeded; the breathing became shorter and feebler—and at a few minutes before eleven, quietly and without suffering, sensible to the last, and fondly recognizing his wife and children, he ceased to live. At midnight the solemn tones of the great bell of St. Paul's Cathedral told the citizens of London of the calamity that had befallen our country, and the loss to our beloved Queen.

It must (says *The Times*) have cheered the last moments of this illustrious patient to see his wife, and nearly all his children, around his bed. The Princess Royal, who is at Berlin, was prevented by recent severe indisposition from travelling, and indeed the death of the Prince followed too soon in the discovery of his danger for such a journey to have availed her. Prince Alfred is serving on board his ship on the other side of the Atlantic; but the Prince of Wales and the Princess Alice were by his side, together with several of the younger members of the family. After the death of the Prince, the Queen, when the first passionate burst of grief was over, called her children around her, and with a calmness which gives proof of great natural energy, addressed them in solemn and affectionate terms, declaring, that though she felt crushed by the loss of one who had been her companion through life, she knew how much was expected of her, and she called upon them to give her their assistance in order that she might do her duty to them and the country."

The Queen (with the Princesses) left for her marine residence, Osborne, Isle of Wight, to remain there till the funeral of her husband is over, which will take place Monday the 23d.

While we mourn for the Prince who is dead, we sorrow most on account of the Queen who survives him—stricken, blighted, desolate, alone. He who had been for twenty-two years the companion of her almost every hour, the centre and charm of her domestic circle, the sharer of her royal honors, the loving friend, the wise counsellor, the manly supporter, who had relieved the burthen of her official duties, and cheered the seasons of her retirement—the man of her free choice, the spouse of her youth, her confidant and stay, now in "cold obstruction" lying! Heaven grant her strength and comfort, and preserve to her that reason which will be threatened by the blow that has so suddenly and so terribly fallen upon her.

While I think of our bereaved monarch, I am thinking also of that war with which we are menaced—a war between England and the United States. And can it be that that land which has lately smoothed the cold eyelids of the departed Prince will be called upon to put the sign-manual to a proclamation of bloody strife between nations of one race, and blood, and language, and religion? God forbid! We have a telegraphic summary of your President's Message. It is silent concerning the "Trent affair." Our Journals are perplexed by this reticence, and those of them unfavorable to a pacific solution of the difficulty have endeavored to draw conclusions in support of their policy from the thanks voted to Capt. Wilkes by Congress, the approval of his conduct by the Navy Department, and certain expressions employed by the President. On the other hand, the friends of peace are hopeful that Mr. Lincoln remains free to act upon his own judgment, on receipt through Lord Lyons of the demands made upon him by this government, and that he will consider those demands in the spirit of wisdom and moderation, with a sincere aim to the settlement of differences without resort to arms.

The solemn event I have communicated will, it may be believed, have a salutary effect upon the national mind here. One sentiment for the present absorbs all hearts, and leaves no room for those feelings which a few days ago were so lamentably prevalent. We may hope to be delivered by misfortune and chastisement from the bitterness of spirit which has estranged the people and the public press. We will no longer desire to shield the Union by sympathy with our afflicted Queen; the people and the public press will no longer desire to shield the Union by sympathy with our afflicted Queen; the people and the public press will no longer desire to shield ing her Majesty from the anxieties which war would inevitably entail upon her. It would be sad, indeed, if in the early days of her widowhood, in addition to the griefs she is called to bear, and the additional cares which will devolve upon her, she should be required to engage in councils of war, and be required to summon her people to go forth in war-array against those who would reduce thousands to her own condition of bereavement, and fill the land with the cries of orphaned children. If the pale lips of our departed Prince could speak, surely they would say—"Let the half-drawn sword be returned to its scabbard, let reason and experience adjust the dispute, and peace be preserved to the country governed by the heart-stricken Victoria."

FROM OUR DUBLIN CORRESPONDENT.

DUBLIN, Dec. 14, 1861.

One thing which I observe about this unhappy affair of the Trent is, that whilst the American press generally regards that the conduct of Capt. Wilkes was perfectly legal, the English press, with little exception as far as I can learn, is of opinion that it was a gross outrage on the law and customs of nations, and that however it may be defended by abandoned English precedents, far older than the present generation of men in the prime of life, it was an act which the people of the United States would be the last to submit to if the Trent had been one of their mail steamers and the San Jacinto a British war frigate. If a war ensues in consequence, I presume it will be the first war of modern times between civilized nations provoked by outrage on the part of the British people on the one side, and in which the other belligerent took up arms to maintain a principle involved in the forcible seizure of men for whom they have no respect or admiration whatever. All the feelings of the mass of the English people are with the North in their struggle with the South, so far as that struggle is caused by hostility to slavery, although the sentiment is nearly universal amongst us that it would be better for yourselves and for the world at large that a total separation took place between a really free North and a pro-slavery South, than that the Union should be retained on its old pro-slavery constitutional basis. It is also asked, What can the North do with the South if she succeeds in her efforts to maintain the Union and abolish slavery? How can she accomplish both objects? With such furious hostility (far surpassing the ordinary hatred of hostile nations) prevailing in the South towards their "Northern brethren," how are the emancipated millions to be protected from worse evils than even they have hitherto endured? An American gentleman of conservative tendencies, with whom I have lately become acquainted, asks me would it not be unfair that the United States government should abolish slavery in Missouri, Kentucky and Maryland, while desirous to secure their loyalty? But how credulous must they be who hope to keep slave States loyal, and how can their loyalty be secured unless you exterminate the slaveholders or transfer the slaves to the custody of Northern chattels? Whilst the feeling in England is nearly unanimous that the act of Capt. Wilkes is indefensible in every way of our maintaining our national dignity and independence, a feeling of great disgust and mortification prevails that a collision between the two countries should have been brought round in the interest of the slaveholders. I only know of one "indignation meeting" having been held, and that was at Liverpool, where the cotton merchants abound, and which put forth little admiration. The matter has been left in the hands of the government, and their hands have been powerfully supported by the general sentiment that if British ships, sailing from one neutral port to another, may be searched by any foreign power which chooses to overhaul the passengers and pick out whom they please, there is no good reason why the same thing may not be done in the streets of London. War is a clumsy expedient for the settlement of national quarrels, and I wish some other could be invented. And how much nearer will we have come to a right decision if we succeed on both sides in destroying thousands of dear lives and wasting millions of hard-earned treasure? I rejoice to hear from private sources that the determination to abolish slavery is becoming general in the North, but I wish we had more proof of it from the tone of your papers, and that the desire was grounded upon a hatred of tyranny rather than ignoble grounds of expediency.

Yours, RICHARD D. WEBB.

Our Western Correspondence.

CINCINNATI, Dec. 30, 1861.

THE greetings of the season to you, dear STANDARD, and long may you wave—even until it shall be over the last slave and the brave I drive from his native land! Christmas has come and gone. The night before, I walked out under our clear sky, and the North Star seemed to me another Beth-lehem Star; then I went home to dream that the wise men of our benighted land were pressing after the Star of Freedom, until they heard the song of angels crying, "Glory to God in the Highest, on earth peace, good will to men!" Did one ever hear of angels singing of peace on earth in connection with wrong and inhumanity to men? Alas, had our nation been only up to good will to men, we should have had the angelic choral this Christmas as of old; but we must toil on through the night yet longer, until, amidst the hideous beasts of our land, the next Christ is born. Thank God that, at least, the "young men begin to see visions and the old men to dream dreams!"

One of the features of our Queen City is the Sunday Night Discussion held at our Unitarian Church in this city. All sides are invited to attend, and all views are heard thereat. The Church is every Sunday evening crowded to its utmost capacity, listening now to a warm debate upon the right and propriety of immediate and unconditional emancipation. A queer scene occurred there not long ago. An Englishman had sustained the negative of the question, arguing with great vehemence against emancipation. He had a great many figures, etc., about emancipation in the British Indies, and, of course, quoted largely from Anthony Trollope (whose book on the West Indies is the most complete *romance* ever imagined by that professional writer of fiction). When he had spoken, and various others, the best speakers of our city, perhaps, had responded or sided with him, and the meeting had reached its hour of adjournment, a colored man arose and asked the meeting to listen to what he had to say a few moments. The novelty of the thing startled everyone. It was manifest that a large number of those present were pro-slavery, not a few from Kentucky. But the majority demanded that he should be heard, and so he came to the stand. But how shall I express to you the power and effect of this colored man's speech? Those were a set of white faces so completely eclipsed before. Any one speech that had been made was conceded by all to be to this only as a boy's debate compared with Webster's reply to Hayne. Such elegance of expression; such absolute mastery of his subject; such completeness with all the facts and figures; such perfection of style and pronunciation; such severity and self-possession, which could not be betrayed into any violent remark; such wit, felicity and vigor carried the audience away by storm. The negro tried to stop; but, though the hour for adjournment had passed when he began, the large audience would not permit him to stop, but sat breathlessly listening to his every eloquent word, and would have so sat an hour longer. When he ceased, round after round of irrepressible applause, in which even the pro-slavery men united, told that this eloquent speech had told upon the hearts and heads of all present.

The man who had been criticising the Africans severely turned pale, then purple, then red; for the power of the colored man's first five minutes had laid his Darkie in ruins. Every heart in the audience had whispered, *That is one of the race of which we are here considering whether it shall be treated as cattle?* It was a triumph which the anti-slavery men of this city will long remember. The name of this young colored man is Peter Clark, and I trust the friends of the slave in the East will one day hear him for themselves.

The rendition of Mason and Slidell created a deep sensation in this city, especially among the Irish, who were hoping for a war with England; indeed, a leading Irishman here said at the Mulligan supper, "I rejoice at the capture, but I'm dreadfully afraid it will turn out to have been legal." The other class is the semi-secessionist, who were hoping that the American arms were to be thus turned away from where arms really might strike slavery. Over in Kentucky, as you may imagine, there is, for this latter reason, wailing and gnashing of teeth. But the real loyalists take the matter good-humoredly. They know that as far as our interest is concerned, Mason and Slidell might as well be in England as feasting on Boston turkey and champagne; whilst there is no little delight that Mr. Seward is to-day the most humiliated man in America, simply because, trusting in oppression, he is too weak to vindicate the nation's rights. Those who trust in the fight, like Secretary Seward, will never be put to confusion. If the South had been set by an edict of emancipation guarding four million enfranchised slaves, America, with half a million ready soldiers, could have been in such a condition that the British lion would have respected her as gently as 'twere a sucking dove. Thus every step, being against the eternal truth of things, is a stultifying blunder. Meantime, the believers in a Free Republic, the haters of a Union on whose communion table are the broken body and shed blood of the African, have meat to eat in these times which others know not of. The saddest news in the Europa brought to me was that Arthur Clough, the exquisite poet, had died in the city where Parker died. To-day, I thank thee, Arthur, my friend, that thou didst write, before passing away, these words:

> "For mortal men
> So but thou strive, thou soon shall see,
> Defeat itself is victory."

Our Washington Correspondence.

WASHINGTON, Jan. 6, 1862.

THERE is no mistake about it—Washington is rapidly becoming a free and civilized city. An anti-slavery lecture calls out a crowded house at any time here now, so the lecturer be somewhat known and possesses brains. Brownson, Storrs, Taylor, Dickinson and Greeley, have already spoken to overflowing audiences. Brownson and Dickinson spoke the truth respecting slavery as plainly as any of them, though Mr. Greeley did not mince matters at all. Brownson was the boldest of all, and he could afford to be, for in past years he has doubtless been as "conservative" as any, unless it be the "sage of the Susquehannah." Whenever Brownson hits a very hard blow, the applause was loudest; when Dickinson declared that slavery must be destroyed for the sake of the Union, the cheers which greeted the sentiment gave abundant evidence that at last there is an anti-slavery audience in the city of Washington. But few of the citizens of Washington attend these lectures, but they acquiesce in them, and she few who have been persuaded out are delighted with the novelty of a free expression of opinion on all subjects.

When it is remembered that the popular lecture-system was never before tried in Washington, that the present course is an experiment, it certainly is a little remarkable, that after paying every lecturer thus far handsomely, there are left several hundred dollars. So far, money enough to pay the current expenses of each lecture has been taken at the door, leaving the entire income from season tickets untouched. This is certainly a brilliant success for Washington.

Bayard Taylor drew the largest house, but nearly every body was disappointed by his performance. The people crowded out to see and hear the traveller and author, and were disappointed to find that a man may be an excellent writer without being a good speaker. Mr. Greeley generally disappoints as a mere orator or speaker, but the subject-matter of his lecture was so juicy and satisfying, that no one complained of the style in which it was served up.

Dr. Cheever is to lecture next week, and ere long Wendell Phillips. I make the prediction now that Mr. Phillips will draw the largest house of the entire course. There is an intense desire among all classes here, and especially among those who never saw an old-fashioned Abolitionist, to see and hear Mr. Phillips. We are hoping that Theodore Tilton of *The Independent* will be one of the lecturers by and bye, for the number is to be enlarged from the first plan, which was twelve to eighteen.

The government dignitaries are shy of these lectures. I do not mean government clerks, or the heads of bureaus even, but the President and his family and the Secretaries and their families do not honor the Smithsonian Lecture-room with their presence. It would doubtless compromise their dignity. It is not out of the way to give a fat contrast to one's blood-relative, but it would never do to come out and hear Brownson exhort the nation to overthrow human slavery upon this continent! Wonderful! Even the lecturer, Mr. Lincoln came out to hear Mr. Greeley's lecture.

Our new city police came out on the first of January in a new uniform. It would be as proper to say that they came out in *a* uniform, for they have not had any before. Noticing the new uniforms led me, a day or two since, to inquire a little into the conduct of this new police force towards the colored population of the town, and if the free are made the pleasant discovery that they have strict orders not to engage in the business of catching fugitive slaves. As this force is entirely paid out of the Treasury of the United States, they can really be no claim upon it to execute the obsolete laws of Maryland in this district. At any rate, the Police Commissioners have instructed the force to leave fugitive slaves to take care of themselves. In one case, which occurred a week or two since, a policeman arrested a slave and threw him into jail. He was compelled to get the slave released and permit him to go on his way rejoicing. These facts are worth a great deal just at present, for they show that not only the government but the people of the Capitol, and the very atmosphere of the place, are changing on the question of slavery. This is the result of *the absence* of the pro-slavery leaders from Washington.

The project for the abolition of slavery in the District of Columbia is still discussed by our citizens and by members of Congress. There is not much opposition among the people to the gradual emancipation act. At best there are but few leading citizens who oppose the plan. Perhaps the few will make a great deal of noise when they find that air emancipation act is likely to pass. At present they are not alarmed, and I doubt if Congress will touch the subject at present. There is a disposition to postpone legislation of this kind for two or three months, to see if our armies can accomplish anything against the rebels. Events may occur within the next two months which may affect the disposition of Congress to legislate upon these subjects. But something is sure to be done before the present session expires.

It is said that Mr. Lincoln is coming round to the anti-slavery stand-point—that he is getting sick of the counsels of pro-slavery men. He attended Mr. Greeley's lecture, as I have stated above, and after it was finished, he asked Mr. Greeley for a copy, which has since been given to him. On the occasion of the delivery of the lecture the audience cheered every anti-slavery sentiment vociferously, and when the name of Fremont was mentioned, the applause was tremendous. The President took it very quietly. Some of those present were inclined to view the demonstration as a rebuke of the President for his removal of Fremont, but such was not the case. It was a spontaneous outburst of applause at the mention of a name the people still love.

The President and his Cabinet have of late been led by the very reactionists of their position to consider the slavery question thoroughly. They know and admit that the attempt to put down the rebellion thus far has proved an utter failure. The Treasury is bankrupt already—and nothing is done. Now all the arguments of anti-slavery men he really good for anything, it is fully time for the President to give them a careful consideration. The do-nothing policy—towards slavery

Philadelphia Correspondence.

PHILADELPHIA, Jan. 6, 1862.

As none to your London Correspondent for his letter in last week's STANDARD. It was the first word of unqualified good cheer we have had through the war. Letters from that side of the water since our troubles began. His letter of the previous week was decidedly sagacity good; its utmost being that it contained the strongest hope or wound sensibility. It failed in failing to discourage the time of writing it he had no evidence that the sudden clamor raised around the question of meeting successfully to the accusations of want of replying successfully to the accusations of cowardice and timid friends of the cause of liberty in our own and timid friends of the cause of liberty in our country. Nevertheless, in the meantime, give us, further information, and, in the meantime, give us, if we did, what such comments and reflections as naturally would present themselves.

This forbearance was to have been expected from those who besides being rooted and grounded in the cause of liberty, faith, is equally well established in his country's institutions. He knows the American people, from personal acquaintance and extensive observation, and understands fully their capacity for self-government. Comprehending, as few men do, the sins and short-comings in the matter of slavery, he understands, as still fewer do on his side of the Atlantic the virtue, the intelligence, and, in the end, the national probity of the American people. He must have been morally certain, therefore, that the government, open to criticism as it is, and not free from imperfection, is not guilty of an indiscretion so palpably preposterous as to justify expression so wantonly outrageous, or of an act of aggression against it on the part of his an attempt to make a war against it on the part of his countrymen necessary. But for the justice of this conclusion in the present case he had no evidence, and reasons should be forthcoming, his only recourse was silence. But his letter of the 10th, a week later, is a more positive character, and is truly encouraging. It leads us to hope that England will not allow herself to be forced into an alliance with slave-breeders and conspirators, or be induced, on any pretext, to bind the establishment of a new slaveholding power in the scale. Her government may, under strong temptation, prove false to its trust; leading men in her counsels may show themselves sordid and base; high places may show themselves sordid and base; high professors of religion and philanthropy may stand convicted of hypocrisy or self-delusion, but there is still a people dear there who love justice for its own sake, who hate oppression, and who follow truth, from whom we have not yet heard, and who, when they speak, will place England in a more honorable attitude towards this country than that which she now occupies.

For it is undeniable that the impression which prevails at present in this country is greatly to the discredit of England. The common belief here is that the love of justice and fair play in that country has either died out or never had any real existence. "If," it is argued, "England can, *for any reason*, voluntarily become the championship of a system which has been the under traditional abhorrence, and of her own accord put on the dirty shoes which we have contemptuously thrown away, then is she indeed the meanest of the nations among nations, and the most hypocritical of all who pretenders." But, as your Correspondent finds reason to hope, and as we are determined to believe, in spite of testimony that has been coming in for some time so far the last fortnight to the contrary, England will not assume this championship; she will not condescend to the castaway shoes which even our current Northern apologists for slavery would now be ashamed to stand in. This is your Correspondent's testimony, and this is our belief.

The Times may misrepresent and abuse us, and other papers, from which better things might have been expected, may join in the clamor; but *The Star*—a power luminary though she be, and shining above the rest now does—may yet redeem herself more than all to heal feeling of the people. Lord Shaftesbury may come in pray publicly for peace, as Senator Douglas declined to interfere between Brooks and Charles Sumner, for *fear his action might be misconstrued;* but John Bright and Richard Cobden, stronger men and better representatives of the people, boldly meet their responsibilities, and seek, by affronting their government with the truth, to avert the calamities of war. Mrs. Martineau, from whom, if we could forget her letter about the Tariff, we would have looked for more delicacy of language and less obliquity of moral judgment, may assail the North with her sophistries and use the same application in this country by unprincipled and ill-mannered advocates of slavery, and may say, as she does, that: "If this movement (of Commander Wilkes) was planned or known at Washington, there is no hope of peace but in immediate apology and retraction. The people of England are offered an outrage too great to be endured, and grieved, shocked and ashamed as we shall be to war against you at the same time as the South, *we shall have no choice"* (!); but George Thompson, as competent to speak for England as she, and more competent than the feelings of English people, addresses us in different language. He speaks to us not only respectfully, but kindly and fraternally, and holds as hope for better things from his country, and things that make for enduring peace. The people who stand for his idea of English morality do *not* hold that an insult to a flag, be it ever so wanton or gross, makes war a necessity, leaving "no option," even though it supersedes to its ordinary horrors the unspeakably atrocious extraordinary one of aiding in the establishment of eternal slavery.

The school-judgment of the Abolitionists of this country, and of his friends of peace between the two nations, are due to your correspondent for his timely and cheering letter. And the thanks of all classes are due to those bold and clear-sighted champions of democratic institutions, John Bright and Richard Cobden, for their eloquent speeches, extracts of which your correspondent has given us. I have not read these speeches in full, myself, but our friend Dr. Furness, who has just been talking to me on the subject, describes that of Mr. Bright as a most masterly, powerful and exhaustive production. It is an evidence of right feeling on the part of England, and as a means of making this feeling more extensively prevalent, he regards as does your correspondent, this speech as a matter of pleasing significance.

In speaking of the North and of our government, I will have been noticed that I have said "we" and "us," implying, as is the fact, that on the matters spoken of there is no difference of sentiment between Abolitionists and the other people on the subject of the Trent—the people's hearts in those Northern States beat with one pulse. The Abolitionists, so far as my opinion of judging go, think that Commander Wilkes did right—acting on the data which guided him—in separating Slidell and Mason; that Congress did right in complimenting him for his zeal and fidelity; that the Cabinet did right in deciding to surrender the captured rebels; and that the people do right in unanimously acquiescing in the decision.

You seem to think that there will be great exultation in England over these men's rendition. This is to be expected; but it is hardly probable that, after having had time to reflect, there will be much of the feeling of triumph in their publication. The bully who seizes an opportunity, *for any cause*, to slap his neighbor in the face when his hands are tied, has no occasion for triumph if the indignity offered provoke no manifestation of resentment. I hardly think that our "magnanimous ally" will feel disposed to boast much of her achievement.

A good deal of curiosity is felt here to know what effect on an ovation will await the distinguished ambassadors when they reach the other side. It will be a curious spectacle to see the world-notorious Mason and the author of the "infamous Fugitive Slave law." pass under English arches, and made the occasion of English pæans.

Since penning what I have said about George Thompson, I see that that gentleman has been cooperating with Cobden and Bright in their efforts to rectify English opinion in regard to this country. *The North American* of this city contains an extract from a speech being one of a series delivered by him in Manchester, which is the editor says, as "replete with good sense and a just appreciation of our position. It will afford much satisfaction to Mr. Thompson's many friends in this country to learn that, on the question of our international difficulties, he has assumed a position so honorable to himself and so creditable to the cause with which his name has so long been identified. Abolitionists, maligned as they are, have a good deal to be proud of. Their record will show that they testified their devotion to their country by boldly reproving its sins in the days of its prosperity, and manfully standing by its fortunes in the dark hours of its adversity. M.

Our Boston Correspondence.

BOSTON, Jan. 5th, 1862.

To the Editor of the National Anti-Slavery Standard.

DIED, at his residence in Newburyport, on Thursday, Dec. 12th, Mr. Francis Todd, at the age of 83." This brief obituary, which the local papers of last month contained, and which, outside the circle of the deceased's acquaintance, attracted but little notice, I cannot pass over in silence. The name carries us back to those days when the anti-slavery movement was just struggling into being, and to be an Abolitionist required the true martyr spirit. Imbodied at that time in the person of a young man, identified, therefore, the principles which, in the short space of thirty years, have so astonished a nation were outlawed and despised. The particular year to which I refer was 1830, and the place Baltimore. Mr. Garrison, then a young man of twenty-five, was associated with Benjamin Lundy in editing the *Genius of Universal Emancipation*. The *New Cyclopædia* of the Appleton's contains the following account of a transaction which must occupy a conspicuous place in the history of the times. Familiar as we are with the circumstances of Abolitionists, I think their brief repetition cannot be uninteresting.

"The ship Francis, owned by Francis Todd of Newburyport, having taken a cargo of slaves from Baltimore to Louisiana, Mr. Garrison denounced the act as piracy, and charged the captain of his purpose to 'cover with thick infamy' all those implicated in it. He was tried in consequence indicted and convicted, in the city Court, May term, 1830, for 'a gross and malicious libel' against the owner and master of the Francis. The charge was disproved by the Custom-house records that the number of slaves transported was much greater than he alleged. He was sentenced to pay a fine of $50 and costs of Court. Unable to discharge the judgment, he was committed to jail. Mr. Todd, in a civil suit for damages, subsequently obtained a verdict against him for $1,000; but the judgment, probably on account of his well known poverty, was never enforced. During this incarceration, he occupied a cell just vacated by a man who had been hanged for murder. He remained in jail 49 days, when a gift of $100, from friends in New York, paid the fine and costs, and he was set at liberty."

Mr. Todd has lived to witness strange things. When the rich merchant succeeded in thrusting his fellow-townsman into that Baltimore prison, he little imagined that he was giving mighty propulsion to a hated cause. But who can estimate the value of that persecution? The result, though unwitting, was effective. For it the anti-slavery historian consents to enshalm the name of Francis Todd, which would else find honorable oblivion.

The New Year has inaugurated a new policy in the weather. Instead of the indecisive, neither summer nor winter days of the past month, we have a vigorous and biting atmosphere, indicating that Jack Frost is entering in earnest upon his winter campaign. Isn't it about time that the government and McClellan should imitate his generalship? How much longer must our brave troops rust out with inactivity, and die by hundreds of camp-sickness, while the nation looks on despondingly, seeing the rapacious devouring of its resources to the tune of two millions a day, show us, O wavering Cabinet, a purpose and a determination to conquer the rebellion! Our army waits impatiently for the promised, yet ever delayed, advance. Not Beauregard, Johnston and Davis are as deadly enemies as the insidious typhoid fever, the small pox, and the host of other pests which no sentries can keep without our caups. Activity banishes disease and makes sick men well. Strike as you would if an English army were encamped in hostile array across the Potomac. How long would it be in that case before we should hear again the cry of "On to Richmond"?

Shall we commence the New Year with heavy clouds beaming us in. Where shall we look for a ray of light? Slavery is still omnipotent; fatuity has seized our rulers; Congress, which opened so grandly, promises nothing; England is opening her port-holes and mounting her guns with the muzzles pointing at us; that hated functionary, the tax-gatherer, promises the people a speedy visit; misfortunes accumulate; the only path of deliverance which God offers this nation—victory through emancipation—is rejected; "and yet we will be deliberate."

I need not tell you that your last leader and the article of your correspondent S. M. on "Mrs. Martineau's recent letters" gave us unusual satisfaction. As a friend observed in my hearing, from the autocratic tone of "Our English Correspondent," we should think the initials H. M. must certainly mean Her Majesty. But really it does seem sad that so strong and unwavering a friend as Harriet Martineau has ever been to the anti-slavery cause should in this, our trial hour, throw the weight of her honored name in the balance against the suffering slave. Is nationality above humanity? When that comprehensive, far-seeing, admirably reasoned and prophetic paper on the "Manifest Destiny of the American Union," which appeared less than five years ago in *The Westminster Review*, and in which we recognized the masterly pen of Mrs. Martineau, was written, did the writer imagine herself in the day of that revolution she so truly predicted lending her voice for the encouragement of the oppressor? Even so it is.

I have not space to speak critically of Gov. Andrew's recent message. It is a document of great length and characterized by the Governor's usual heartiness and earnestness. While his announcement of sympathy with abolition is gratifying, it is to be regretted that he has succeeded so well in "schooling himself to silence," and that he should withhold, at such a time as this, his own needed sentiments, and urge instead that the confidence of the people be given to leaders whose career thus far has only invited distrust. J.

NEW PUBLICATIONS.

THE WRITINGS OF SIR THOMAS BROWNE. Boston: Ticknor and Fields. 1862.

In the days of youthful trifling with common-place books we once divided all English writers into four classes, to wit: Deep and Concealed Thinkers; Deep and Dis-connected Thinkers; Shallow and Connected Thinkers; Shallow and Dis-connected Thinkers. The writers who figured in the latter lists it is not necessary to recall, but we distinctly remember that as Bacon led off with the first class, so Sir Thomas Browne presided unchallenged over the second. And now that attention is again called to the *Religio Medici* and other of his works, we cannot find that our old estimate needs much reconsidering. We canonly repeat to-day that Browne's writings belong to the very first order of light literature—holding that term to include all books not characterized by symmetry of system or scientific analysis. A fondness for exact experiment and careful observation was, in this man, united with a genius of thoughtfulness and fancy that continually struggles into flights which are genuine creations of the imaginative faculty. He had a way of helping himself liberally to the best adjectives, which in these days are so debased by people who have "feelings" to bring to market, that all sensitive persons shudder when they occur to them. The vigorous English of Browne's sentences seems to absorb the best intelligence of his age, and often of all ages. There is also this mark of the elect upon him, that the most obvious truisms have no second-hand flavor when he chooses to state them. If he sometimes flutters about his subjects, it is never with the dalliance of feebleness; for few men have greater power of gathering up what energy they possess, and of mastering a thought in a single paragraph. There is a thoroughly healthy quality in Browne's religious testimonies, notwithstanding the natural inclination to superstition which he frankly confesses. The robust temper of his piety does not indeed scatter all delusions, but walks off with them as moral makeweights of very trifling consequence. Any earnest soul is easily acclimated to the genuine fervors of his disposition. Even the quasi-religious freaks and whimsies in which Sir Thomas delighted compare favorably with the speculative diversions of more recent philosophers. If a man is under bonds to perform a certain amount of impertinent speculation, it is better he should act himself to inquire what kind of fishes were eaten by our Saviour after his resurrection, than that he should perplex himself with considering whether sin be not another name for indigestion, and enlarging the chest the best recipe for sanctifying the heart. The writings of this author prove again the well-established proposition, that the ruling powers of the intellect are no safeguard against very facile gullibility. Some of the Vulgar Errors that he thinks worth exploding are so *extremely* vulgar that they can scarcely be touched without damaging the operator. Yet readers who feel inclined to pride themselves upon being above some of Browne's foibles and superstitions will do well to consider whether in fact they may not he below them. We are continually meeting fellow-citizens who seem to us to accept conventionalisms for realities far more simply than he did—, only they are present conventionalisms, not past ones.

Although this American edition of Sir Thomas Browne's writings is but a selection, it comprises what is most valuable and characteristic in the author. For the elegant taste of its external appearance, and for the rarer taste that prompted its selection and arrangement, the public are indebted to the editor and publisher, Mr. Fields of Boston.

*

A PETITION purporting to set forth the necessity of emancipation is in circulation for signatures, having already been signed by Wm. C. Bryant, Wm. Curtis Noyes, Edgar Cowman, and others, together with the names of Andrew Johnson, and Geo. B. Cheever. Some of the gentlemen named are accustomed to better company.—*Daily World.*

It is very true that some of us signed the Emancipation Petition have been accustomed to better company; but a common cause makes us all equal before the rebellion. We never had any misgivings or doubts, that the time would come, sooner or later, when those who derided our efforts in the holy cause of freedom would become our co-laborers; and, now that the day has arrived, we certainly have no disposition to quarrel with our present coadjutors in the good cause for not coming over to our side sooner. In the words of the old time prayer-meeting hymn, with others of your temporary, that whenever it shall come over and enrol itself among the great army of Emancipationists, we shall not refuse it a hearty welcome on the score of our having been accustomed to better company. By no means.

TRACT FOR THE DAY.—"The War and Slavery; or Victory only through Emancipation," is the title of a tract of 8 pages, just published at this office. It is a reprint of an excellent article, from the pen of D. PLUMB, which appeared in this paper a few weeks since, and which leading friends of the cause deem worthy of the widest circulation at the present crisis. It is not for sale, but for gratuitous distribution. Friends of the cause, who desire it, can obtain as needed and wished for by addressing the amount of postage (in stamps or otherwise), viz., *four cents*, to ROBERT F. WALLCUT, 221 Washington Street, Boston.

TO CORRESPONDENTS.—We have received, too late for publication this week, a Letter from L. MARIA CHILD, in reply to the latest epistle of our English correspondent, H. M. It shall have a place next week.

Other communications are deferred solely for want of room, and duties still are under consideration.

Our space is far from adequate to the demands upon it in these eventful times, and the duty of selection and exclusion is often quite embarrassing.

COTTON FROM PORT ROYAL.—We are indebted to Mr. Nelson Sizer, the Phrenologist, 308 Broadway, for a small quantity of Sea Island cotton, picked at Port Royal, by the "contrabands." As specimens of not less than 3,697 bales of this cotton arrived here in the Vanderbilt on Monday, consigned to the U. S. Quartermaster, to be sold for the benefit of the national treasury.

REV. J. R. W. SLOANE will preach in the Church of the Puritans to-morrow (Sunday) evening. He will no doubt bring the light of truth to bear upon the great question now before the country.

OBITUARY.

DIED, in Dorchester, Dec. 28th, RICHARD CLAP, Esq., aged 81 years and 5 months.

This venerable man was among the earliest subscribers to *The Liberator*, commencing his subscription at his death. Almost from the formation of the Massachusetts Anti-Slavery Society, he was an officer and a member of it, and contributed regularly and generously to the Anti-Slavery treasury, and to succor the hunted fugitive slaves. Possessing a warmly modified and childlike simplicity of character, he was, nevertheless, strong in his convictions of duty, and unswerving in his performance of it, whether he stood alone or with many. An humble disciple, in whom there was no guile," he has at last fallen, "like a shock of corn fully ripe," leaving behind him the light of a noble example and the glory of a well spent life. *E. L.L.*

HOPPER.—In Philadelphia, Tuesday, Dec. 31, Lucretia Mott, daughter of Edward and Anna M. Hopper.

THE TWENTY-EIGHTH
NATIONAL ANTI-SLAVERY SUBSCRIPTION ANNIVERSARY.

THE time for the ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION ANNIVERSARY again draws nigh, and we look forward to it with pleasure, as the means of meeting familiar, friendly faces, and listening to earnest words of counsel and encouragement. Some say that other agencies are now in such active operation, that "the old Abolitionists," as they are called, can well afford to rest, and with others carry forward their work to its completion. We cannot view the subject in this light. Our mission is the same now that it was thirty years ago. Through many and strange changes, we have slowly but steadily advanced toward its fulfilment; but there are many indications that our work is not done, and we are to be safely left to ourselves. We have been, and we must still be, a fire to warm the atmosphere of public opinion. More than a quarter of a century ago, the fire was kindled with generous zeal, and year after year it has been fed with untiring industry and patience. Not all the cold water that pelting storms and other ecclesiastical bodies could throw upon it has sufficed to extinguish the flame, or even to prevent it from spreading. The moral thermometer can never again fall to the old freezing point. In view of this, we thank God, and take courage. But who that observes passing events, and reflects upon the conditions, can arrive at the conclusion that the fire is no longer needed?

It is true that blood and treasure are lavishly expended to put down a most wicked and sanguinary rebellion, the proclaimed purpose of which is to extend and perpetuate SLAVERY. We are told that United States flags, and United States feasts, in every possible way, a vigilant carefulness to protect the claims of slavery, and politicians are continually announcing that the war has nothing to do with the cause of the slave. There are now very few slaveholders who condescend to profess allegiance to the government; yet they are in the ascendency in the councils of the unprincipled oligarchy, they still appear to govern the counsels of the nation. The honest expression of the PEOPLE'S wishes is required to be suppressed, lest the utterance should prove offensive to this arrogant minority, so long accustomed to rule the nation. Even the right of free speech and free press is slain for their cruelty. If the polar star of a great idea were presented to them, they would follow it with eager courage through suffering and death. But it seems to be the aim of politicians to create a fog so dense that neither star nor sunlight shall glimmer through it to guide the millions, who are longing to be led in the right direction.

Is *this* a time to let the sacred fire smoulder on the altar of freedom? On the contrary, there has never been a time when it was more necessary to watch it with vigilance, and feed it with untiring activity.

We, Abolitionists, have unwavering faith that "the straight line is always the shortest, in morals as well as in mathematics." Politicians are always in need of being convinced of this obvious truth; and they are peculiarly in need of it now. Let us, then, continue to work for the good old cause in every way that is consistent with our own conscientious convictions of right, together, that our efforts may be crowned and our hands strengthened for whatsoever work the God of the oppressed may call upon us to do.

All those who have faith in the principles of freedom, all who believe that the effect of righteousness would be peace and security for our unhappy country, are cordially and earnestly invited to meet us at the usual time and place in Boston, in January next. [Particulars hereafter.]

Contributions, and expressions of sympathy, from friends at home or abroad, in person or by letter, will be most thankfully received; for we have great need of both at this most momentous and trying crisis.

L. Maria Child,
MARY MAY,
LOUISA LORING,
HENRIETTA SARGENT,
SARAH BISSELL MAY,
HELEN EARLE CHASE,
ANNA SHAW GREENE,
SARAH BLAKE SHAW.
ELIZABETH GAY,
CAROLINE THAYER,
ABBY KELLEY FOSTER
JULIA B. PARKER,
AUGUSTA G. KING,
MARTHA PARKER,
MARY JACKSON,
EVELINA A. SMITH,
KATHERINE E. FARNUM,
CAROLINE M. SEVERANCE,
MARY WILLEY,
ANN REBECCA BRAMHALL,
SARAH P. REMOND,
MARY E. STEARNS,
SARAH A. DOUGLAS,
ELIZABETH VON ARNIM,
ANNE LANGDON ALGER,
ELIZA APTHORP,
SARAH G. SWAN,
SUSAN H. SOUTHWICK,
MARY ELIZABETH SARGENT,
SARAH C. ATKINSON,
ABBY JEANNE,
MARY JANE PARKMAN,
GEORGIANA OTIS,
ABBY H. STEPHENSON.

Proceedings of Congress.

THE two Houses—the holiday recess being over—assembled on Monday. *In the Senate*, the chief question was upon the admission to a seat of Mr. Stark, the duly appointed successor of Col. Baker, from Oregon. His credentials being presented, Mr. Fessenden (Rep., Me.) moved that the consideration of the oath be suspended for the present, and the credentials of Mr. Stark, together with certain papers which he held in his (Fessenden's) hands, be referred to the Judiciary Committee. The papers were well attested by many of the most respectable inhabitants of Portland (Oregon.) The papers state that Mr. Stark is understood to be an open and avowed Secessionist; that he defends the course of the South, and had given utterance to statements at war with the government, such as approving of the attack on Fort Sumter, and declaring that in case of war he would sell his property, go South and fight for the rebels. He (Fessenden) had examined the papers with deliberation, and therefore made the motion. Messrs. Bayard (Dem., Del.) and Bright (Dem., Ind.) protested against the proposition, and Messrs. Fessenden, Sumner, Wilson and Trumbull supported it. The subject was laid on the table.

Mr. WILSON (Mass.) presented a petition from citizens of Pennsylvania that John C. Fremont be appointed Lieutenant-General.

Messrs. SUMNER, FESSENDEN, COLLAMER, CLARK, and HARRIS presented petitions for the emancipation of slaves under the war power, with compensation to loyal masters.

Mr. KING (N. Y.) presented a petition from the mayor and citizens of Rochester, asking that the example of Washington and Jackson be followed in regard to the slaves, and that they be put to work for the government.

Mr. CARLILE (Va) presented a petition from citizens of Pennsylvania, stating that slavery was the cause of the present war, and praying that no further agitation of the subject be tolerated in Congress.

In the House, Mr. ROSCOE CONKLING (Rep., N. Y.), on a question of privilege, called attention to the resolution lately adopted by the House with reference to the battle of Ball's Bluff, to which the Secretary of War had returned, as he deemed, an evasive answer. Mr Conkling went on to say that the battle of Ball's Bluff was the most atrocious military murder ever committed in the annals of history, the most humiliating triumph of the rebellion, a blunder so gross that no one had dared to defend it. Nine hundred and thirty men fell victims to this blunder, and the resolution of the second day of the session was due to the memory of these slain, if to nothing else. He asked for an inquiry, and an inquiry was absolutely necessary. Suppose, said Mr. Conkling, that it should appear that Gen. Stone is only half loyal, or half a soldier; is that a sufficient and good reason why he should be released from his share of the responsibility for this dreadful crime? He said that the government was spending one million dollars, and had 200,000 men in the field; whenever we have made an advance, we have been outnumbered and ignominiously defeated; and yet the House and the people whom the House represents are denied the right of knowing on whose shoulders lies the blame of these things. We should know, said he, whether the General-in-Chief has taken the pains to inquire who is responsible for the battle of Ball's Bluff, and we should make the inquiry with the intention of being answered.

COTTON FROM PORT ROYAL.—We are indebted to Mr. Nelson Sizer, the Phrenologist, 308 Broadway, for a small quantity of Sea Island cotton, picked at Port Royal, by the "contrabands." As specimens of not less than 3,697 bales of this cotton arrived here in the Vanderbilt on Monday, consigned to the U. S. Quartermaster, to be sold for the benefit of the national treasury.

REV. J. R. W. SLOANE will preach in the Church of the Puritans to-morrow (Sunday) evening. He will no doubt bring the light of truth to bear upon the great question now before the country.

OBITUARY.

DIED, in Dorchester, Dec. 28th, RICHARD CLAP, Esq., aged 81 years and 5 months.

This venerable man was among the earliest subscribers to *The Liberator*, commencing his subscription at his death. Almost from the formation of the Massachusetts Anti-Slavery Society, he was an officer and a member of it, and contributed regularly and generously to the Anti-Slavery treasury, and to succor the hunted fugitive slaves. Possessing a warmly modified and childlike simplicity of character, he was, nevertheless, strong in his convictions of duty, and unswerving in his performance of it, whether he stood alone or with many. An humble disciple, in whom there was no guile," he has at last fallen, "like a shock of corn fully ripe," leaving behind him the light of a noble example and the glory of a well spent life. *E. L.L.*

HOPPER.—In Philadelphia, Tuesday, Dec. 31, Lucretia Mott, daughter of Edward and Anna M. Hopper.

THE TWENTY-EIGHTH
NATIONAL ANTI-SLAVERY SUBSCRIPTION ANNIVERSARY.

THE time for the ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION ANNIVERSARY again draws nigh, and we look forward to it with pleasure, as the means of meeting familiar, friendly faces, and listening to earnest words of counsel and encouragement. Some say that other agencies are now in such active operation, that "the old Abolitionists," as they are called, can well afford to rest, and with others carry forward their work to its completion. We cannot view the subject in this light. Our mission is the same now that it was thirty years ago. Through many and strange changes, we have slowly but steadily advanced toward its fulfilment; but there are many indications that our work is not done, and we are to be safely left to ourselves. We have been, and we must still be, a fire to warm the atmosphere of public opinion. More than a quarter of a century ago, the fire was kindled with generous zeal, and year after year it has been fed with untiring industry and patience. Not all the cold water that pelting storms and other ecclesiastical bodies could throw upon it has sufficed to extinguish the flame, or even to prevent it from spreading. The moral thermometer can never again fall to the old freezing point. In view of this, we thank God, and take courage. But who that observes passing events, and reflects upon the conditions, can arrive at the conclusion that the fire is no longer needed?

It is true that blood and treasure are lavishly expended to put down a most wicked and sanguinary rebellion, the proclaimed purpose of which is to extend and perpetuate SLAVERY. We are told that United States flags, and United States feasts, in every possible way, a vigilant carefulness to protect the claims of slavery, and politicians are continually announcing that the war has nothing to do with the cause of the slave. There are now very few slaveholders who condescend to profess allegiance to the government; yet they are in the ascendency in the councils of the unprincipled oligarchy, they still appear to govern the counsels of the nation. The honest expression of the PEOPLE'S wishes is required to be suppressed, lest the utterance should prove offensive to this arrogant minority, so long accustomed to rule the nation. Even the right of free speech and free press is slain for their cruelty. If the polar star of a great idea were presented to them, they would follow it with eager courage through suffering and death. But it seems to be the aim of politicians to create a fog so dense that neither star nor sunlight shall glimmer through it to guide the millions, who are longing to be led in the right direction.

Is *this* a time to let the sacred fire smoulder on the altar of freedom? On the contrary, there has never been a time when it was more necessary to watch it with vigilance, and feed it with untiring activity.

We, Abolitionists, have unwavering faith that "the straight line is always the shortest, in morals as well as in mathematics." Politicians are always in need of being convinced of this obvious truth; and they are peculiarly in need of it now. Let us, then, continue to work for the good old cause in every way that is consistent with our own conscientious convictions of right, together, that our efforts may be crowned and our hands strengthened for whatsoever work the God of the oppressed may call upon us to do.

All those who have faith in the principles of freedom, all who believe that the effect of righteousness would be peace and security for our unhappy country, are cordially and earnestly invited to meet us at the usual time and place in Boston, in January next. [Particulars hereafter.]

Contributions, and expressions of sympathy, from friends at home or abroad, in person or by letter, will be most thankfully received; for we have great need of both at this most momentous and trying crisis.

L. Maria Child,
MARY MAY,
LOUISA LORING,
HENRIETTA SARGENT,
SARAH BISSELL MAY,
HELEN EARLE CHASE,
ANNA SHAW GREENE,
SARAH BLAKE SHAW.
ELIZABETH GAY,
CAROLINE THAYER,
ABBY KELLEY FOSTER
JULIA B. PARKER,
AUGUSTA G. KING,
MARTHA PARKER,
MARY JACKSON,
EVELINA A. SMITH,
KATHERINE E. FARNUM,
CAROLINE M. SEVERANCE,
MARY WILLEY,
ANN REBECCA BRAMHALL,
SARAH P. REMOND,
MARY E. STEARNS,
SARAH A. DOUGLAS,
ELIZABETH VON ARNIM,
ANNE LANGDON ALGER,
ELIZA APTHORP,
SARAH G. SWAN,
SUSAN H. SOUTHWICK,
MARY ELIZABETH SARGENT,
SARAH C. ATKINSON,
ABBY JEANNE,
MARY JANE PARKMAN,
GEORGIANA OTIS,
ABBY H. STEPHENSON.

Chronicles of the War.

The Departure of Mason and Slidell.

THE first day of the new year was marked by the surrender of Mason and Slidell to British authority, and their departure from the custody of the United States for the British dominions. The matter was managed very quietly. A steamtug took them from Fort Warren at 9 o'clock in the forenoon, and conveyed them to Provincetown, Cape Cod, where they were placed on board the British gunboat Rinaldo, which was there in waiting, and left about 5 o'clock in the afternoon. Mr. Mason took leave of his fellow prisoner, who recently had been in good spirits, and he has borne his imprisonment with the air of a philosopher. Mr. Slidell was somewhat sulky, and not at all pleased at going in such an unostentatious manner, and at such a vessel. He evidently expected that a steamer would come especially for them.

Scheme for Employing the Slaves of Rebels.

It is understood that Gen. Lane will, with the approbation of the government, make the experiment of employing slaves of rebels, in his military operations in the West, using them in the transportation and commissary departments mainly. By taking them in this way it is thought that a vast deal of ground work usually to be done by unwilling soldiers in Arkansas or Texas.—*Wash. Cor. Times.*

Hard Times in Richmond.

A member of the Legislature, at Richmond, who recently urged on Fourth-of-July Home, states that there is a great scarcity of salt in that city so high as $50 a sack being paid for that article. From $15 to $20 were demanded for a pair of boots, while only $7 were asked for a barrel of flour. For want of salt, the farmers have delayed killing their hogs from month to month. In the meantime, the corn upon which they are fed is gradually becoming exhausted. This scarcity of salt is particularly in and around Fairfax Court-House. The Union residents in that neighborhood have petitioned Gen. Wadsworth to supply them.

Emancipating the Slaves of Rebels.

The Senate Judiciary Committee will soon report a scheme of emancipation of the slaves of rebels, in accordance with the recommendations of the President. It will probably meet the approval of a majority of that body, as the ultra features advocated by the radicals will be excluded.—*Wash. Cor. Times.*

Contrabands on the Potomac.

FREDERICK, Md., Dec. 31, 1862.

Contrabands from Counties adjacent to the Potomac continue to arrive daily, and, after an examination by Gen. Dix, are forwarded to Gen. McClellan's headquarters to be disposed of. It is stated that at least one-third of the slaves of Loudon County have made their escape, and some from Fairfax, Fauquier, and Culpeper accompanied their masters in this vicinity. This morning some ten or twelve, and four or five white refugees, were dispatched by cars to Washington. The latter came to represent that if our forces were to enter Loudon the rebel cavalry would be driven pell-mell to a man, would gladly renew their allegiance to the Union.

Big Rebel Occupied.

The news heretofore received, of the panic prevailing among the rebels on the Virginia peninsula, had quite progressed to the intelligence received from Fortress Monroe, to the effect that Big Bethel had been occupied by our troops, without loss, the enemy having retreated precipitately to Yorktown. A reconnoitering party, 700 strong, left Camp Hamilton on the morning of the 3d, under command of Brig-Gen. MAX WEBER. Seven miles this side of Big Bethel, our cavalry met the mounted pickets and skirmished with them. The people of the village it was found deserted, although there were evidences that three or four thousand men had occupied the place recently. Breastworks, half a mile in extent, pierced for 12 guns, were found, but the artillery had been removed. Our scouts returned, without leaving a guard. The Burnside expedition is daily expected at Fortress Monroe.

Advance Toward Charleston.

By the arrival of the Vanderbilt, from Port Royal on the 3d inst., we have cheering intelligence of the success of our arms in South Carolina. On New Year's day four gunboats (the Ottawa, Seneca, Pawnee and Pembroke) steamed to fire into batteries on the shore, and two having for their work to cover the landing of the Union troops, which were under the command of Gen. Wright, and demolish some batteries. Everything worked well: the troops who advanced on the land were fired upon by a concealed battery; part of a Michigan regiment were in the boats, and were ordered to within one hundred yards of this battery made their reconnoissance and then retired for reinforcements. The battery was soon after completely destroyed, with the loss of 8 wounded on the Union side. The loss of the enemy is not known. Other batteries shared the fate of the one here alluded to, and the Union troops passed the night on board the gunboats in excellent service, which the fire being remarkable for its precision; the soldiers showed a hearing which promises everything for the future. The second day's fight, of which we had a rebel report, has not been given us in detail.

Success in Western Virginia.

Another decided success has attended our arms in Western Virginia. Huntersville, a depot for rebel supplies in the mountains, between Huttonsville and Warm Springs, fell into our hands on Saturday last, and with all the supplies there were captured and destroyed. The National troops engaged were detachments of the Fifth Ohio, the Second Virginia, and Bradsin's Cavalry—some 740 in all. The rebels had 300 cavalry and 350 infantry. Two miles from Huntersville our troops were met by the rebel cavalry, who were driven from their position, but at last the whole rebel force was a hasty retreat from the town as our men charged through it. Their loss is reported at 80 killed and wounded, while, strange to say, not a man was hurt on our side. Camp and Union were left floating over the Court-house at Huntersville.

From the Upper Potomac.

Our advices from the Upper Potomac are to the effect that Jackson, at the head of a considerable rebel force, had crossed the Potomac at Shepherdstown the day, and threatened to shell the place unless the National force there evacuated it. Gen. Lander, who is now in command there, sent a suitable response, and Jackson, on Saturday, announced his bombardment, which did very little injury to the town, and none whatever to the troops. The rebels also tore up the track of the Baltimore & Ohio Railroad opposite Hancock. At last accounts, a sufficient force of National troops was being concentrated to drive Jackson back.

Summary.

THE MOST DANGEROUS FORM OF TREASON.—Masked loyalty. (See New York *Herald*, *Express*, *Journal of Commerce*, Boston *Courier* and *Post*, Detroit *Free Press*, and all others of the same stripe.)—*Liberator.*

MICHIGAN.—The Governor of Michigan, in his message to the Legislature, alluding to National affairs, attributes our complications abroad and troubles at home to the instigation of the Slave Power, and says that the people intimately submit to see our armies used to protect slave property of the rebels, when the most active means should be taken to crush the rebellion, sparing nothing and apologizing to nobody for our actions.

GEN. SHERMAN'S RECENT PROCLAMATION.—Gen. Sherman, commanding the expedition which left Hilton Head, issued a proclamation to his troops on the 25th, in which he says that the people of the South, *we shall have no choice* (!); but George Thompson, as competent to speak for England as she, and more competent than the feelings of English people, addresses us in different language. He speaks to us not only respectfully, but kindly and fraternally, and holds as hope for better things from his country, and things that make for enduring peace. The people who stand for his idea of English morality do *not* hold that an insult to a flag, be it ever so wanton or gross, makes war a necessity, leaving "no option," even though it supersedes to its ordinary horrors the unspeakably atrocious extraordinary one of aiding in the establishment of eternal slavery.

The school-judgement of the Abolitionists of this country, and of his friends of peace between the two nations, are due to your correspondent for his timely and cheering letter. And the thanks of all classes are due to those bold and clear-sighted champions of democratic institutions, John Bright and Richard Cobden, for their eloquent speeches, extracts of which your correspondent has given us. I have not read these speeches in full, myself, but our friend Dr. Furness, who has just been talking to me on the subject, describes that of Mr. Bright as a most masterly, powerful and exhaustive production. It is an evidence of right feeling on the part of England, and as a means of making this feeling more extensively prevalent, he regards as does your correspondent, this speech as a matter of pleasing significance.

In speaking of the North and of our government, I will have been noticed that I have said "we" and "us," implying, as is the fact, that on the matters spoken of there is no difference of sentiment between Abolitionists and the other people on the subject of the Trent—the people's hearts in those Northern States beat with one pulse. The Abolitionists, so far as my opinion of judging go, think that Commander Wilkes did right—acting on the data which guided him—in separating Slidell and Mason; that Congress did right in complimenting him for his zeal and fidelity; that the Cabinet did right in deciding to surrender the captured rebels; and that the people do right in unanimously acquiescing in the decision.

You seem to think that there will be great exultation in England over these men's rendition. This is to be expected; but it is hardly probable that, after having had time to reflect, there will be much of the feeling of triumph in their publication. The bully who seizes an opportunity, *for any cause*, to slap his neighbor in the face when his hands are tied, has no occasion for triumph if the indignity offered provoke no manifestation of resentment. I hardly think that our "magnanimous ally" will feel disposed to boast much of her achievement.

A good deal of curiosity is felt here to know what effect on an ovation will await the distinguished ambassadors when they reach the other side. It will be a curious spectacle to see the world-notorious Mason and the author of the "infamous Fugitive Slave law." pass under English arches, and made the occasion of English pæans.

Since penning what I have said about George Thompson, I see that that gentleman has been cooperating with Cobden and Bright in their ef

Miscellaneous Department.

FOR THE ANTI-SLAVERY STANDARD.

"MAY WE PRAY?"

MAY WE pray?—the unwonted query
Heard to-day in tariff sound,
As if belonged to pagan
To the earth no longer found.
Was it where Syrian shepherds
Watched the "friend of God" at prayer,
When on Mamre's plains the palm trees
Crossed with shade the burning air.

And they turned, with waking reverence,
From the shrines of Baalim
To the one, pure faith, beginning
Then on earth her morning hymn?

Or it may have once been uttered
In the labyrinths of dead,
Where, mid mouldering bones and darkness,
Oft the Christian feast was spread;
And the lamp-light on the altar
In those grave-aisles prophesied,
That from martyrs' dust arising
Should the Church be glorified.

Did they say then, low and breathless,
"Is it safe? and may we pray?"
Listening, lest the Roman tyrant
Might have sent his guards that way?

May we pray? This should be only
Asked in hours of spirit woe,
When our hope is falling from us,
When we know of coming blow;
Asked of none on earth, in heaven,
But our Maker and our God;
Only asked to hear the certain
Answer from His bright abode,
Overwhelming grief in rapture,
Doubt in sweetest ecstasy:
"Pray, my child, thy Father listens;
Pray, and I will answer thee."

May we pray? They asked it vainly
In a land of law and light,
Where the church bells rung their music,
Where the Sabbath hours were bright.
Utterances of prayer forbidden,
Eager words of praise repressed,
That no hint of swift-winged judgment
Might disturb the master's rest.
But the human soul is stronger
Than a fellow-mortal's will,
And the prayer grew only deeper
While the lips were dull and still;
Till each silent heart in its beating
Was a never-ceasing prayer,
Till the angels caught up anthems
Never breathed on earthly air.

May we pray? The Northern soldiers
Scarcely understood that word,
And a thankful thought for freedom
Unaware their spirit stirred;
In *their* homes, their laughing children
Hush at night their noisy play.
When the loving mother whispers,
"Darlings, it is time to pray."
And the youngest, knelt beside her,
With its stainless baby brow,
Follows, hushed, as its sister,
Say, "May God bless father now."

We may pray! They knelt for praising,
Thanking God for that great boon,
But the voice of grateful blessing
Mingled with their praises soon.
And with holier heart and blessing
Turned the soldier from the place,
Where the aged slave was asking
That, in recompensing grace,
God would bless the sent deliverers
Who had given leave to pray,
And whence'er they called upon Him,
Never, never turn away.

NEWPORT, R. I. ELLEN.

The Year's Record of Slaughter.

A SERMON,

BY REV. O. B. FROTHINGHAM.

Sunday, Dec. 29, 1861.

To everything there is a season, and a time for every purpose under the heaven; a time to be born, and a time to die; a time to plant, and a time to pluck up; a time to kill, and a time to heal; a time to break down, and a time to build up; a time to weep, and a time to laugh; a time to get, and a time to lose; a time to keep, and a time to cast away; and a time of peace.—*Ecclesiastes* iii. 1–8.

STRIP are the materials of which our human life is made: all necessary, all timely, all fitting, all set in their places, and arranged under the eye of the Architect and Artist of our destiny. Not one of all these things can be spared; for the loss of any one loss, the defect of any one defeat, would mar the harmony of the design. The visitor in Rome, as one of his exploits, climbs up into the dome of St. Peter's church. Standing on a narrow gallery, which runs round the interior of the dome, he sees about him on the ceiling enormous figures, gigantic, hardly traceable in their harsh outlines on the great concave. Set into the curving wall he sees common rubbish from the streets, rough bits of coal, brick, mica, pieces of colored glass like old bottles, lumps of tufa, clippings of Roman pavement, fragments of crockery, often smoothed and unpolished, promiscuous enough, and far from handsome in themselves or in their arrangement. He descends to the pavement of the church, and, standing under the dome, looks up into it, and behold! the strange heap floating there in the dome above the cloud of incense as in the glory of heaven! So it is with our life. We stand too near it to comprehend it with wise and worthy survey. We see what it is made of, but not what it is meant for. We see the form, the matter, the accidents, the common experiences, the black disappointments, and ghastly wounds and ugly scars; but if we would know what the grand mosaic is designed to represent, we must recede to a distance and view the whole in the point of eternity.

Our life is made for us, far more than it is made by us. We grow almost as unconsciously as the trees, that carry on without knowing it the most surprising economies of nature. The Great Artist chooses the materials for them, sets them in, and, what age we shall live, in what condition we shall be born, what shall be our parentage, our constitution, our endowment; amid what circumstances, religious, political, civil, social, we shall begin our work; what the form of government, what fortune or misfortune we shall encounter while doing it; how the movements in the world shall affect our estates and mould our being; how much sunshine we shall have, and how much cloud; how many personal and how many temperature days; all this is appointed by the great Author of Destiny. Everything works into the plan which, from year to year, from generation to generation, with a complexity too fine to be followed by our eyes, on a scale too immense for our vision to compass, grows under the divine Master's hand. The hand is never idle; the work never stops, the touches are never put in amiss. We are always approaching our completion, though we least suspect it; when we seem to be moving backwards, we are moving forwards. We are always changing, maturing, ripening, expanding. The morning sun of gladness and the heavy nightdews of grief, hours of energy and hours of listlessness, moods of hope and moods of despondency, stumblings, fallings, risings again, loves and fears and hates, lay their colors silently upon us, with a precision that is never at fault, and a harmony that never errs. We think ourselves the same from year to year; that is because we forget what we have been in former years, and, conscious only of our present state, imagine that we always must have been as we are to-day. Others think us the same; that is because they do not know as well enough to perceive how the character of our being has been modified by Time. The Providence in the individual life, like the Lord's prayer on the gold dollar, is too finely engraved to be seen by the naked eye; but the microscope finds a Providence in it. The race has had its changing epochs of experience, its ages of war and of peace, its bright centuries of movement, discovery, reform, renewal; and its dark centuries, when all the life-currents have flagged, and its harvest fields lay at the mercy of the storm-winds; its periods of belief and of disbelief, its epochs of hope and its epochs of penitence bordering on frenzy and despair; and no man in his senses pretends to say which of all these moods has been most beneficial, which of all these experiences has been most salutary, which of all these alternations has contributed most to the result thus far reached. To the vision of faith sees no dark ages, no deadly years, no epochs of decadence, no days to be marked black in the calendar. All are days of God numbers all the hours, and yet numbers only those that are bright. The believer in Providence never sees evil. He sees what happens amiss, even as concerns the details of existence, as no part of that when the great mosaic of the world shall be uncovered to spiritual gaze, he shall see the glorious shapes of apostles and saints fashioned out of the broken bits of mortality.

By the light of such a faith let us glance back on the experiences of the year that is closing. There has indeed been a time in it for everything. It opened with a gloom as oppressive as that of death; it came in with a silence awful as that which precedes an eruption of Vesuvius; a suspense universal, agonizing, bewildering; no sound but the crash of falling forests, the suppressed roar of maturing rebellion, the mutterings of impatience, the low whispers of fear, suspicion, wrath; and the nation awaited, in hope or in terror, the going out of the old Administration and the coming in of the new, two months, one day of which was almost too big with fate to be carried through. As the eventful day drew near, the evil omens multiplied. The new President is smuggled into Washington to escape assassination; Weary negotiations, which drive us almost distracted, follow; and inauguration, to be ended by the sword which cut the knot that could not be untied. The guns from Sumter call the nation to arms. We start up as from moral graves; the air is breezy with the banners; the suns shine at noon day, and the stripes warm the sunlight of the spring; cities, towns, villages ring with the strains of our Marseillaise; the tramp of our stern battalions rolls ceaseless through the Northern States. There is blood in Baltimore, in the Northern States. There is blood in Baltimore, a funeral procession in Boston; there is fever heat in Massachusetts and New York; there is free breath in Washington, and the haggard city smiles as the Seventh marches in. For a moment we stand on the Mount of Transfiguration, listening to the divine voices, seeing the great forms, hardly knowing whether we woke or slept; but soon we are down again among the maniacs. There was Bethel—"house of God" only as it was consecrated by the death of Winthrop; there was Fort Pickens, there was Pensacola, there was Fort Sumter, there was Manassas, at the news of which strong men became pale and stiff as marble, and noble women rolled on the floor in the agony of their shame. Who can ever forget that wild night, or the confused days that followed, or the summer weeks that followed, when there was no light for those who stood in the very eye of the sun, and no cheer for those who were blessed with all nature's ministrations; days of frightful conjecture, nights of terrible unrest, nights and days when the pile even prayed that day might die. The slow recovery came at last; faint flushes of hope gleamed in the nation's horizon; there was promise of advance, of victory. But the future, to the most hopeful eyes, looked blacker than ever; pendent over it fighting longer and more desperate; the enemy more powerful, the crisis more tremendous, the issue more uncertain; the labor, the sacrifice, the sorrow more appalling to contemplate. Everything tangible was discouraging. Disaster followed disaster, bulletins of disgrace; humiliation followed humiliation like a shadow; privateers swept the seas with impunity; traitors filled the government offices; spies lurked under officers' disguises in the camp; our next-door neighbors were in correspondence with the enemy; a thousand foes were those of his own household. Chaos in the West; chaos on the Potomac; chaos in Washington; a united, laboring, patriotic Cabinet struggling like a great Samson in the toils of a demoralized public opinion; public opinion paralyzing and writhing with its own mingled elements. The monotony of confusion is broken by Ball's Bluff, with a new assortment of blunders, a new crop of imbecilities, a new outbreak of heroism, and a new army of martyrs. Then commences the march, as the word Emancipation begins to be whispered in camp and cabinet, and city; and no sooner are the forces mustered, than it seems as if they must fall asunder like withering with the germ